

**Metro Travel Forecasting
2008 Trip-Based Demand Model
Methodology Report**

March 2008



METRO

**Planning Department
Transportation Research and Modeling Services**

Andrew Cotugno, Director

Principal Author : Kyung-Hwa Kim

Richard Walker, Manager, Transportation Research and Modeling Services

Peter Bosa, Associate Transportation Modeler
Aaron Breakstone, Assistant Transportation Modeler
Kyle Hauger, Senior Transportation Modeler
Steven Hansen, Associate Transportation Modeler
Scott Higgins, Principal Transportation Modeler
David Horowitz, Associate Transportation Modeler
Kyung-Hwa Kim, Principal Transportation Modeler
Thaya Patton, Assistant Transportation Modeler
Cindy Pederson, Principal Transportation Modeler
Bill Stein, Senior Transportation Modeler

The preparation of this report has been financed in part by funds from the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, and by funds from the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration.

Table of Contents

2008 Trip-Based Demand Model	1
A Input Data	2
A.1 Land Use and Access Measurement Data	2
A.2 Travel Time Data	3
A.3 Trip Cost Data	5
A.4 Transportation Service Inputs	6
B Pre-Generation	6
B.1 Worker Model	7
B.2 Auto Ownership Model	8
B.3 Children Model	9
C Trip Generation	10
C.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)	10
C.2 HBshop (Home-Based Shopping)	11
C.3 HBrec (Home-Based Recreation)	11
C.4 HBoth (Home-Based Other)	12
C.5 NHBW (Non-Home-Based Work)	12
C.6 NHBW (Non-Home-Based Non-Work)	13
C.7 HBcoll (Home-Based College)	14
C.8 HBSch (Home-Based School)	15
D Multimodal Accessibility Functions	16
D.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)	16
D.2 HBshop, HBrec, HBoth (Other Home-Based)	17
D.3 NHBW & NHBW (Non-Home-Based)	18
D.4 HBcoll (Home-Based College)	19
E Destination Choice	20
E.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)	20
E.2 HBshop, HBrec, HBoth (Other Home-Based)	21
E.3 NHBW & NHBW (Non-Home-Based)	23
E.4 HBcoll (Home-Based College)	24
E.5 HBSch (Home-Based School)	24
F Mode Choice Model	24
F.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)	25
F.2 HBshop, HBrec, HBoth (Other Home-Based)	28
F.3 NHBW & NHBW (Non-Home-Based)	31
F.4 HBcoll (Home-Based College)	33
F.5 HBSch (Home-Based School)	35
G Time of Day Factors	35
H Assignment	37
H.1 Auto Assignment	37
H.2 Transit Assignment	37
I External Model	38
J Truck Model	38
J.1 Allocation of Flows to Truck Sub-modes	38
J.2 Application of Weekday Factor	39
J.3 Allocation of Flows with local Origins/Destinations to TAZs	39
J.4 Allocation of Flows to Terminals and Other Regional "Gateways"	39
J.5 Linking of flow Origins and Destinations	43
J.6 Linkage of Commodity Flows to Reload Facilities or Terminals	43
J.7 Allocation of LTL Flows to Reload Facilities	43
J.8 Modeling Pickup and Delivery Tours	43
J.9 Apply Heavy Truck Flow Fractions to Remove Flows Made by Non-Heavy Trucks ...	43
J.10 Apply Flow to Truck Trip Factors	44
J.11 Estimate Additional Vehicle Trip Segment Trip Ends (Unbalanced)	44

J.12	Estimate Additional Vehicle Trip Segment Trip Ends (Balanced)	45
J.13	Estimate Through Truck Trips	45
J.14	Estimate Truck Trip Table by Time of Day	45
J.15	Assign AWD Truck Trips to Network	45
K	Portland International Airport Model	46
K.1	Enplanements as Inputs to the Model	46
K.2	Airport Mode Choice	46
K.3	Airport Trip Distribution	46
K.4	Airport Peaking	47

List of Tables

TABLE 1.	Peak Factors Applied to Skims in Mode Choice Models	4
TABLE 2.	Worker Model	7
TABLE 3.	Auto Ownership Model	9
TABLE 4.	Children Model	10
TABLE 5.	HBW Production Rates	11
TABLE 6.	HBshop Production Rates	11
TABLE 7.	HBrec Production Rates	12
TABLE 8.	HBoth Production Rates	12
TABLE 9.	NHBW Pre-Production Rates	12
TABLE 10.	NHBW Production Utility	13
TABLE 11.	NHBNW Pre-Production Rates	14
TABLE 12.	NHBNW Production Utility	14
TABLE 13.	HBcoll Production Rates	15
TABLE 14.	HBsch Production Rates	15
TABLE 15.	HBW Destination Choice Model	21
TABLE 16.	HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Destination Choice Model	22
TABLE 17.	Non-Home-Based Destination Choice Model	23
TABLE 18.	HBW Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes	26
TABLE 19.	HBW Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes	27
TABLE 20.	HBW Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes	27
TABLE 21.	HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes	30
TABLE 22.	HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes	30
TABLE 23.	HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes	31
TABLE 24.	Non-Home-Based Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes	32
TABLE 25.	Non-Home-Based Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes	32
TABLE 26.	Non-Home-Based Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes	33
TABLE 27.	HBcoll Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes	34
TABLE 28.	HBcoll Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes	34
TABLE 29.	HBcoll Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes	35
TABLE 28.	HBsch Mode Choice Model	35
TABLE 29.	Auto Peaking Factors	35
TABLE 30.	Adjustments to Auto Peaking Factors	36
TABLE 31.	Transit Peaking Factors	37
TABLE 32.	External Destination Choice Equations	38
TABLE 33.	Peaking Factors for Trips to PDX	47
TABLE 34.	Peaking Factors for Trips from PDX	47

2008 Trip-Based Demand Model

This document summarizes the technical specifications for the travel demand model used in the Portland-Vancouver metropolitan area. It includes descriptions of the model structure, model application, the variables employed in model equations and their coefficients.

This model uses the person trip as the unit of analysis. This report does not address the tour-based Activity and TRANSIMS models, two federal Travel Model Improvement Program (TMIP) studies that are being conducted using Portland data.

On a regular basis, the region's trip-based model is modified to incorporate new data and research findings. Since the last report in 1998, many model enhancements have been implemented, affecting all trip purposes except Home-Based School. The current model offers the following methodological advances:

- The model includes trips by children younger than five years old.
- The cost assumptions have been updated to 1994 dollars.
- The worker model was re-estimated using new income categories.
- The auto ownership model was simplified with the introduction of a dwelling type variable.
- The trip generation models have been completely overhauled:
 - Home-Based Work (HBW) trips are now generated solely based on number of workers.
 - The home-based other trip purpose has been split into Home-Based Shop (HBshop), Home-Based Recreation (HBrec), and Home-Based Other (HBoth).
 - The non-home based trip purposes (NHBW and NHBNW) employ pre-production rates based on household characteristics to determine the total number of trips from each zone. These are then parceled out among zones based on the number of households and employees (by industry) in each zone.
 - Trip attraction rates are no longer computed, though for HBW and HBcoll attractions are calculated then scaled to productions.
- The destination choice models were also completely overhauled:
 - Multimodal accessibility functions from the mode choice model substitute for auto times.
 - K-factors have been replaced by river-crossing flags.
 - HBW, HBshop, HBrec, and HBoth trips are distributed separately by income group.
 - Many discrete categories of employment are used to distribute trips.
 - HBrec trips use park acres as an input.
- The mode choice models were updated in three key ways:
 - Peak and off-peak skims are used in all trip purposes.
 - Distributed trips are allocated among three auto modes (Drive alone, Drive with passenger, and Passenger), four transit modes (Bus and LRT by walk access, Bus by walk access, LRT by walk access, and Park & Ride), and two non-motorized modes (Bike and Walk).
 - The HBW, HBshop, HBrec, and HBoth trip purposes employ household demographic variables and income-specific cost coefficients.

Features of former models that have been rendered unnecessary by these enhancements include:

- Destination choice K-factors
- Pre-mode choice models for pedestrian and bicycle trips
- "LRT factors" to adjust for light rail transit service
- Auto occupancy factors

An outline of the document structure is provided below. Most of the document describes the modeling of internal person trips. The flow chart shown in [Appendix A](#) gives a visual description of the logic contained in sections B through H. Sections I through K describe models that are independent of the main model structure, although their output is integrated with the main model prior to trip assignment.

- Section A describes the base input data used in all stages of model specification.
- Section B describes pre-generation—the development of household characteristics by TAZ.
- Section C describes the trip generation models for internal person trips by trip purpose.
- Section D describes the multimodal accessibility functions used in the mode choice model.
- Section E describes the destination choice model for internal person trips.
- Section F describes the mode choice model.
- Section G describes the time of day (peaking) factors.
- Section H describes the trip assignment process.
- Section I describes the model for external trips.
- Section J describes the Metro Interim Truck model, used to develop a truck trip table.
- Section K describes the Portland International Airport Model.

A Input Data

Metro's model requires a variety of input data.

A.1 Land Use and Access Measurement Data

A.1.a Socioeconomic and Land Use Data

The socioeconomic and land use data used in Metro's modeling process are listed below:

- H.I.A. – Sixty-four categories of households are formed when the following characteristics are cross-classified:
 - Household size by four groups (1, 2, 3, 4+)
 - Income class by four groups (< \$15K, \$15-25K, \$25-50K, > \$50K), 1994 dollars
 - Age of household head by four groups (0-25, -55, -65, >65)
- Employment by two-digit SIC category
 - Agriculture, forestry (SIC 01-09)
 - Mining (SIC 10-14)
 - Construction (SIC 15-17)
 - Manufacturing (SIC 20-39)
 - Transportation, communications, public utilities (SIC 40-49)
 - Wholesale trade (SIC 50-51)
 - Retail trade (SIC 52-59)
 - Financial, insurance, real estate (SIC 60-67)
 - Service (SIC 70-89)
 - Government
- Number of local intersections

A.1.b Accessibility Measure Calculation

The following base accessibility variables are computed for use in the model:

- Number of employees within 30 minutes of transit travel time (includes walk and wait time)
- Households within ½ mile of each zone
- Retail employment within ½ mile of each zone
- Total employment within ½ mile of each zone
- Number of local intersections within ½ mile of each zone

Composite accessibility measures (commonly referred to as “mix” variables) are then developed to account for both the relative magnitudes of and the interactions between three urban design variables known to affect travel behavior. This has an added benefit of eliminating the collinearity problem associated with using these variables individually:

- Household density
- Employment density
- Intersection density (a measure of street connectivity)

Two accessibility variables are computed: one uses retail employment density (MixRet) and the other uses total employment density (MixTot). The household and employment values are normalized to intersection units using geometric means. The natural log is used to transform the variables' units for compatibility with other variables in the auto ownership, multimodal accessibility, and mode choice models. Here is the equation form:

$$\text{Mix} = \text{Ln} \left(\frac{(\text{int} * (\text{emp} * (\text{int.mean} / \text{emp.mean})) * (\text{hh} * (\text{int.mean} / \text{hh.mean})))}{(\text{int} + (\text{emp} * (\text{int.mean} / \text{emp.mean})) + (\text{hh} * (\text{int.mean} / \text{hh.mean})))} \right)$$

where:

- int = Number of local intersections within ½ mile of each zone
- emp = Retail OR Total employment within ½ mile of each zone
- hh = Households within ½ mile of each zone
- int.mean = Mean int value across all zones
- emp.mean = Mean emp value across all zones
- hh.mean = Mean hh value across all zones

A.1.c Special Trip Generators

Major shopping centers and universities receive special treatment in the generation and distribution models. Due to the unique trip generation characteristics of these locations, the following data are required for each site:

- Shopping center square footage
- College students and staff

A.2 Travel Time Data

Travel time is an important variable in the destination choice and mode choice models.

Door-to-door travel time is used for the model estimation, and zone-to-zone travel time is used for the calibration. Travel time data in this section refer to zone-to-zone travel time.

For all modes but bike and walk, two sets of weekday travel time matrices are developed:

- Peak: A.M. 2-hour peak (07:00-08:59)
- Off-Peak: Mid-day 1-hour (14:00-14:59)

Household survey data are used to estimate the percentage of peak vs. off-peak travel for each trip purpose (except school). These factors determine which proportion of trips experience peak vs. off-peak travel times in the multimodal accessibility functions and mode choice models:

TABLE 1. Peak Factors Applied to Skims in Mode Choice Models

Trip Purpose		Peak Skims	Off-Peak Skims
HBW	Home-Based Work	0.606	0.394
HBshop	Home-Based Shopping	0.300	0.700
HBrec	Home-Based Recreation	0.309	0.691
HBoth	Home-Based Other	0.377	0.623
NHBW	Non-Home-Based Work	0.382	0.618
NHBNW	Non-Home-Based Non-Work	0.331	0.669
HBcoll	Home-Based College	0.407	0.593

A.2.a Auto Skims

Auto skims are prepared using the results of previous EMME/2 assignments.

A.2.b Transit Skims

The peak and off-peak transit skims account for differences in levels of transit service and network congestion. Five transit impedance matrices are developed for each time period:

- In-vehicle time
- Walk time
- First wait time
- Transfer wait time
- Number of Boardings

A boarding penalty is used to affect path choice, but it is not included in the actual impedance time. Each boarding incurs an additional boarding penalty, which varies by location:

- 10 minutes – CBD location, LRT stations, and transit centers
- 20 minutes – other bus stop locations

For model application, wait times are modeled at 50% of headway, on the assumption that transit riders are generally aware of schedules. Note that timed transfer locations receive no special consideration.

Total walk time, initial wait time, and total accumulated transfer wait time each have a maximum value of 30 minutes. This means that no zone pair with transit access (see Section F) has more than 30 minutes walk time, 30 minutes initial wait time, and 30 minutes transfer wait time.

Due to the limitations of the EMME/2 software, the walk, wait, and boarding weights applied to pathbuilding (skim) runs differ from those used in the demand model.

- Transit skim wait time factor: 0.5
- Transit skim wait time weight: 0.5
- Transit skim auxiliary transit (walk) time weight: 1.0
- Transit skim boarding time weight: 1.0

For each zone pair, skims are prepared for the following walk-access transit modes:

- Bus only
- LRT only
- Combo (LRT and Bus)

Only one set of transit times is used for each zone pair. If a zone pair has a travel time by more than one transit mode, the mode with the most optimal time is chosen for input to the model. The optimal time is determined using the Multimodal Accessibility logsum calculation described in Section D.

A.2.c Park & Ride Skims

Park & ride skims are calculated from auto and transit skims, as follows:

- In-vehicle time: sum of:
 - auto in-vehicle time from the production (home) zone to a logically defined lot location
 - transit in-vehicle time from the park & ride lot to the work location
- Walk time: transit skims from the park & ride lot to the work location
- Total wait time: transit skims from the park & ride lot to the work location
- Total trip distance

A.2.d Bike and Walk Time

Bike and walk travel times are calculated based on assumed speeds. The bike distances are the same as auto distances, while the walk distances for intrazonal trips are capped at 0.5 miles so as not to over-penalize the walk mode in large zones. These times do not vary by time period.

- Bike time = Trip distance / 10 mph * 60 mins
- Walk time = Walk distance / 3 mph * 60 mins

A.3 Trip Cost Data

Travel cost is an input to the mode choice model. All cost values are in 1994 dollars:

- Drive Alone = $(\$0.091 / \text{mile} * \text{distance}) + (\frac{1}{2} \text{ of parking charge in attraction zone})$
- Shared Ride = $[(\$0.091 / \text{mile} * \text{distance}) + (\frac{1}{2} \text{ of parking charge in attraction zone})] / 2$
- Park & Ride = $(\$0.091 / \text{mile} * \text{distance} / 4) + \text{transit fare}$

Transit fares are based on the average fares charged by the region's transit providers in 2005 (converted to 1994 dollars):

- TriMet
 - Travel within CBD-Lloyd fareless area : \$0.000
 - For 1 zone travel: \$0.831
 - For 2 zone travel: \$0.852
 - For 3 zone travel: \$0.919
- C-Tran
 - For intra-Clark County service : \$0.761
 - For Portland-Clark County service: \$1.370
- Sandy Area Metro (SAM)
 - For intra-Rhododendron service: \$0.385
 - For Sandy-Rhododendron service: \$0.963
- South Clackamas Transportation District (SCTD)
 - For Molalla-Clackamas Community College service: \$0.770

The parking charge used as an input to auto cost varies by trip purpose:

- Home-based work (HBW) and home-based college (HBcoll) use long-term parking cost.
- Other trip purposes use half of the long-term parking cost.

A.4 Transportation Service Inputs

Various transportation service inputs are applied at different stages in the model:

- Average weekday volumes at external station locations.
- Household transit coverage factor by TAZ for both the peak and off-peak periods, i.e. percent of the households within a zone that are 1/5 mile or less from a bus line, or 1/2 mile or less from a major transit station, including all LRT stations.
- Employment transit coverage factor by TAZ for both the peak and off-peak periods, i.e. percent of the households within a zone that are 1/5 mile or less from a bus line, or 1/2 mile or less from a major transit station, including all LRT stations.
- Park and ride lot location assigned to each TAZ outside the central city. A shadow cost is assigned to some lots; this has the effect of lowering the utility of the Park & Ride mode choice where the assigned lot's capacity is constrained.

B Pre-Generation

Several models must be run before starting the travel demand process. This stage is called pre-generation and includes the worker model, the auto ownership model, and the children model.

These models were estimated using a multinomial logit procedure. The listed utilities are converted into probabilities to determine the number of workers, cars, and children in each TAZ. The following example probability is used for zero-worker households:

$$\text{Prob}_{0\text{-worker HH}} = U_{0\text{-workerHH}} / (U_{0\text{-workerHH}} + U_{1\text{-workerHH}} + U_{2\text{-workerHH}} + U_{3\text{-workerHH}})$$

B.1 Worker Model

The worker model estimates the number of households with 0, 1, 2, and 3 or more workers.

B.1.a Variable Definitions

HHsize	= 1 person, 2 person, 3 person, 4+ person
Workercl	= 0 worker, 1 worker, 2 worker, 3+ worker
Income1	= 1 if 1994 household income < \$15,000
Income2	= 1 if 1994 household income >= \$15,000 and < \$25,000
Income3	= 1 if 1994 household income >= \$25,000 and < \$50,000
Income4	= 1 if 1994 household income >= \$50,000
Agecat1	= 1 if age of household head 18-24
Agecat2	= 1 if age of household head 25-55
Agecat3	= 1 if age of household head 56-65
Agecat4	= 1 if age of household head > 65

B.1.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Constants may differ from the original estimation due to the calibration process. These coefficients are the same as in the calibration code.

0 worker households

$$U = \exp (7.034 - 1.406*HHsize + 2.823*Income1 + 2.024*Income2 + 0.5145*Income3 - 4.396*Agecat1 - 5.054*Agecat2 - 2.8*Agecat3)$$

1 worker households

$$U = \exp (5.101 - 1.125*HHsize + 1.64*Income1 + 1.909*Income2 + 0.9023*Income3 - 1.605*Agecat1 - 1.478*Agecat2 - 1.095*Agecat3)$$

2 worker households

$$U = \exp (3.4 - 0.571*Hhsize - 0.4828*Income1 + 0.502*Income2 + 0.235*Income3)$$

3+ worker households

$$U = \exp (0)$$

B.1.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 2. Worker Model

Variable	0 worker		1 worker		2 worker	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>	<i>7.034</i>		<i>5.101</i>		<i>3.4</i>	
Constant	8.011	25.1	6.083	20.2	3.73	17.6
HHsize	-1.406	-16.5	-1.125	-19.1	-0.571	-10.9
Income1	2.823	5.9	1.64	3.6	-0.4828	-1.0
Income2	2.024	5.3	1.909	5.4	0.502	1.4
Income3	0.5145	2.6	0.9023	5.3	0.235	1.4
Agecat1	-4.936	-13.9				
Agecat2	-5.054	-22.5	-1.478	-6.7		
Agecat3	-2.8	-11.9	-1.095	-4.6		

The 3+ worker choice utility is held constant at zero.

B.2 Auto Ownership Model

Auto ownership is an important input to the mode choice models.

The model estimation dataset includes all surveyed households that reported income and whose locations could be geocoded.

B.2.a Variable Definitions

Hhsize1	= 1 person
Hhsize2	= 2 person
Hhsize3	= 3 person
Hhsize4	= 4 person
Worker0	= 0 worker
Worker1	= 1 worker
Worker2	= 2 worker
Worker3	= 3 worker
Income1	= 1 if 1994 household income < \$15,000
Income2	= 1 if 1994 household income >= \$15,000 and < \$25,000
Income3	= 1 if 1994 household income >= \$25,000 and < \$50,000
Income4	= 1 if 1994 household income >= \$50,000
Sfdwell	= 1 if single family house, 0 if other dwelling type
MixTot	= Total employment accessibility within ½ mile (see Section A.1.b)
Tot20t	= (Total employment within 20 minutes by mid-day transit) /1000

B.2.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

0 car households

$$U = \exp (-7.152 + 2.81 * Hhsize1 + 0.562 * Hhsize2 + 2.822 * Worker0 + 1.965 * Worker1 + 2.587 * Income1 + 1.344 * Income2 - 1.056 * Sfdwell + 0.2737 * MixTot + 0.01495 * Tot20t)$$

1 car households

$$U = \exp (-3.678 + 2.829 * Hhsize1 + 0.9735 * Hhsize2 + 0.3272 * Hhsize3 + 1.493 * Worker0 + 1.326 * Worker1 + 1.335 * Income1 + 1.212 * Income2 + 0.2684 * Income3 - 0.4071 * Sfdwell + 0.2251 * MixTot + 0.007245 * Tot20t)$$

2 car households

$$U = \exp (-2.342 + 0.3485 * Hhsize2 - 0.2177 * Hhsize3 + 2.299 * Worker1 + 2.355 * Worker2 + 1.908 * Worker3 + 0.5937 * Income2 + 0.3964 * Income3 + 0.4813 * Income4 + 0.09206 * MixTot + 0.002282 * Tot20t)$$

3+ car households

$$U = \exp (0)$$

B.2.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 3. Auto Ownership Model

Variable	0 car		1 car		2 car	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>	-7.152		-3.678		-2.342	
Constant	-7.145	-11.9	-3.501	-12.3	-2.211	-8.9
Hhsize1	2.81	9.7	2.829	18.8		
Hhsize2	0.562	1.9	0.9735	6.4	0.3485	3.4
Hhsize3			0.3272	1.9	-0.2177	-1.9
Hhsize4						
Worker0	2.822	7.7	1.493	9.1		
Worker1	1.965	5.6	1.326	10.9	2.299	10.6
Worker2					2.355	12.5
Worker3					1.908	10.8
Income1	2.587	12.0	1.335	7.6		
Income2	1.344	6.3	1.212	7.7	0.5937	3.9
Income3			0.2684	2.5	0.3964	3.9
Income4					0.4813	4.5
Sfdwell	-1.056	-6.5	-0.4071	-4.8		
MixTot	0.2737	4.1	0.2251	6.1	0.09206	3.6
Tot20t	0.01495	8.7	0.007245	6.2	0.002282	2.3

The 3+ car choice utility is held constant at zero.

The land use variables (along with the binary dwelling type variable) are the sole model inputs that can be modified for future scenario testing.

B.3 Children Model

The school trip purpose requires the calculation of the number of households with 0, 1, 2, or 3+ children.

B.3.a Variable Definitions

HHsize = 1 person, 2 person, 3 person, 4+ person
 Agecat4 = 1 if age of household head > 65

B.3.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

This model was not changed in calibration.

0 child households

$$U = \exp (-3.239336*HHsize + 5.537674*Agecat4)$$

1 child households

$$U = \exp (-1.81999*HHsize + 3.458333*Agecat4)$$

2 child households

$$U = \exp (0.0118144*HHsize + 0.3199485*Agecat4)$$

3+ child households

$$U = \exp (0)$$

B.3.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 4. Children Model

Variable	0 child		1 child		2 child	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
HHsize	-3.239336	-26.9	-1.81999	-16.5	0.0118144	0.1
Agecat4	5.537674	26.2	3.458333	16.9	0.3199485	1.5

The 3+ child choice utility is held constant at zero.

C Trip Generation

Average weekday person trips are generated for eight trip purposes:

- HBW – Home-Based Work
- HBshop – Home-Based Shopping
- HBrec – Home-Based Recreation
- HBoth – Home-Based Other (excludes school and college)
- NHBW – Non-Home-Based Work
- NHBNW – Non-Home-Based Non-Work
- HBcoll – Home-Based College
- HBSch – Home-Based School

For each zone, the number of households in each demographic category is multiplied by a production rate. The number of trips is then factored up to match regional control totals by applying a calibration factor which varies by purpose. The demographic categories, production rates, and calibration factors are described by purpose in the following subsections.

Most home-based trips are generated by production zone in the two steps described above, then they are attached to an attraction zone within the destination choice models. Non-home-based trips add an extra step within generation: the allocation of trip productions to zones according to their total households and employment. Finally, school and college generation models incorporate trip attraction, whereas the other purposes address attraction through the destination choice models.

C.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)

C.1.a Productions

HBW trips are produced solely by the number of workers in a household:

- Input Variable: Number of workers
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production (home)

TABLE 5. HBW Production Rates

Workers	Rate
1	1.38325222
2	2.39110122
3+	3.88667372

C.1.b Attractions

HBW trip attractions are estimated by the following procedure:

- A regional average trip rate per employee is generated by dividing the sum of HBW productions by total employees.
- Trip attractions are generated by multiplying the average trip rate by the total employment in each TAZ.

C.1.c Scaling

Final HBW trips are generated by the following procedure:

- Total employment (multiplied by a calibration factor of 1.48) is divided by total productions to produce a production factor.
- Final HBW trips are calculated by multiplying the number of productions in each TAZ by the production factor.

C.2 HBshop (Home-Based Shopping)

HBshop productions are generated by a cross-classification model:

- Input Variables: Household size, Number of workers
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production (home)

TABLE 6. HBshop Production Rates

	Workers			
HHsize	0	1	2	3+
1	0.65370595	0.36543758		
2	1.4747858	0.96459839	0.66841305	
3	1.4397819	1.1695282	0.93650663	1.0063395
4+	1.7925876	1.8066825	1.5106965	1.2347277

The resulting trips are multiplied by a calibration factor of 1.2.

C.3 HBrec (Home-Based Recreation)

HBrec productions are generated by a cross-classification model:

- Input Variable: Household size by worker status
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production (home)

TABLE 7. HBrec Production Rates

HHsize	all household members work	some household members do not work
1	0.50317472	0.47897259
2	0.57970395	0.8811184
3	1.1656474	1.2137337
4+	.	2.2400753

The resulting trips are multiplied by a calibration factor of 1.2.

C.4 HBoth (Home-Based Other)

HBoth productions are generated by a cross-classification model:

- Input Variable: Household size by worker status
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production (home)

TABLE 8. HBoth Production Rates

HHsize	all household members work	some household members do not work
1	0.54391065	0.89368165
2	1.2416304	1.628105
3	1.4489857	2.2256102
4+		3.4876336

The resulting trips are multiplied by a calibration factor of 1.2.

C.5 NHBW (Non-Home-Based Work)

Production of non-home-based travel in trip-based models requires some measure of attraction.

C.5.a Pre-Production

Total NHBW productions are initially generated solely by number of workers:

- Input Variable: Number of workers
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production (work)

TABLE 9. NHBW Pre-Production Rates

Workers	Rate
0	0.02585558
1	0.72965676
2	1.5252267
3+	1.9130584

C.5.b Scaling

NHBW productions are scaled to total employment by the following procedure:

- Total employment (multiplied by a calibration factor of 0.803) is divided by total productions to produce a production factor.
- Adjusted NHBW productions are calculated by multiplying the number of productions in each TAZ by the production factor.

Resulting trips are summed to develop a control total of NHBW trips produced by all zones.

C.5.c Variable Definitions

Hhold = Number of households in production (work) zone
Employment in production (work) zone by two-digit SIC codes...
RetEmp = retail trade (SIC 52-59)
SvcEmp = service (SIC 70-89)
GvtEmp = government
NonRetSvcGvt = all employment other than retail, service and government

C.5.d Choice Utility

$U = \exp (\ln (0.1427 * Hhold + 2.3955 * SvcEmp + 1.7828 * GvtEmp + 1.2263 * NonRetSvcGov + RetEmp))$

C.5.e Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 10. NHBW Production Utility

Variable	NHBW	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic
Hhold	0.1427	12.4
SvcEmp	2.3955	7.3
GvtEmp	1.7828	4.8
NonRetSvcGvt	1.2263	1.8
Retail	1	0

For each production zone, the control total (described in Section C.5.b) is multiplied by the ratio of the zone's production utility (calculated by the utility equation in Section C.5.d) to the sum of all zones' production utilities. In this manner total NHBW trip productions are allocated according to proportion of production by zone.

C.6 NHBW (Non-Home-Based Non-Work)

C.6.a Pre-Production

NHBW productions are initially estimated by a cross-classification model:

- Input Variables: Household size by worker status
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production

TABLE 11. NHBW Pre-Production Rates

HHsize	all household members work	some household members do not work
1	0.55627805	0.98518294
2	0.94975883	1.2483164
3	1.2344123	1.802035
4+	.	2.8792371

Resulting trips are multiplied by a calibration factor of 1.2 then summed to develop a control total of NHBW trips.

C.6.b Variable Definitions

Employment in production zone by two-digit SIC codes...

RetEmp = retail trade (SIC 52-59)

SvcEmp = service (SIC 70-89)

GvtEmp = government

NonRetSvcGvt = all employment other than retail, service, and government

C.6.c Choice Utility

$$U = \exp (\ln (\text{RetEmp} + 0.5086 * \text{SvcEmp} + 0.388 * \text{GvtEmp} + 0.05239 * \text{NonRetSvcGvt}))$$

C.6.d Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 12. NHBW Production Utility

Variable	NHBW	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic
RetEmp	1	0
SvcEmp	0.5086	14.3
GvtEmp	0.388	16.9
NonRetSvcGvt	0.05239	25.5

For each production zone, the control total (detailed in Section C.6.a) is multiplied by the ratio of the zone's production utility (calculated by the utility equation in Section C.6.c) to the sum of all zones' production utility. In this manner total NHBW trip productions are allocated according to proportion of production by zone.

C.7 HBcoll (Home-Based College)

C.7.a Productions

HBcoll productions are generated by a cross-classification model:

- Input Variables: Household size, Age group (age of household head)
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production (home)

TABLE 13. HBcoll Production Rates

	Age Group			
Hhsize	<25	25-54	55-64	>65
1	0.52380952	0.05958549	0.02985075	0.01823708
2	0.48387097	0.17915691	0.03581267	0.03353057
3	1.0	0.23421927	0.33980583	0.06557377
4+	0.45833333	0.38158996	0.58510638	0

Productions are adjusted upward by a calibration factor of 1.074.

C.7.b Attractions

College vehicle trips are used as the HBcoll attraction factor. The vehicle computation is derived from ITE rates (modified to avoid double counting):

- 4 year college vehicle trips = students*2.5 or staff*9.8
- 2 year college vehicle trips = students*1.5 or staff*28.2

Each college is assigned vehicle trips according to whichever formula (student or staff) generates the fewest number of trips.

Attractions are scaled to productions by multiplying the vehicles in each zone by the ratio of total productions to total vehicles.

C.8 HBsch (Home-Based School)

HBsch productions are generated by a cross-classification model, which is based on Metro’s 1985 household travel survey.

- Input Variables: Household size, Number of children
- Output: Person trips (all modes), by zone of production (home)

TABLE 14. HBsch Production Rates

	Children			
Hhsize	0	1	2	3+
1	0	1.0	0.8	.
2	0	1.3933333	2.5	.
3	0	1.313253	2.9808102	4.875
4+	0	1.0	0.8	.

HBsch attractions are set equal to productions because school employment is difficult to obtain at any degree of zonal accuracy and because most schools are located close to students' homes.

Unadjusted HBsch attractions (schat):	equal to total productions by zone
HH Growth rate “1985 to current” (hhgrow):	households / 501,701 (1985 HH)
School Target (schart – scalar):	hhgrow*392,005 (1985 sch prod)
Total Raw Productions (totsch – scalar):	schat
Adjusted Productions (schat):	(schat/totsch)*schart

D Multimodal Accessibility Functions

Modal accessibility functions were estimated for use in the destination choice model. For each trip purpose, they measure the utility of choosing one of nine discrete modes:

Drive alone – only available to households with at least one car

Drive with passenger – only available to households with at least one car

Auto passenger

Bus Only by walk access

LRT Only by walk access

Bus/LRT by walk access

Transit by park & ride access – only available if one trip end is within ½ mile of a transit stop

Bike – only available for trips with a distance less than ten miles

Walk – only available for trips with a distance less than five miles

Note: Per the discussion in Section A.2.b, no more than one walk-access transit mode is used for each zone pair.

The logsum of all modal utilities is a key input to the destination choice model (Section E). It is generated as follows for each trip purpose (and for some purposes, by income group):

$$\text{Ln} (U_{\text{Drive Alone}} + U_{\text{Drive with Passenger}} + U_{\text{Auto Passenger}} + U_{\text{Walk to Bus}} + U_{\text{Walk to BusLRT}} + U_{\text{Walk to LRT}} + U_{\text{Park\&Ride}} + U_{\text{Bike}} + U_{\text{Walk}})$$

D.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)

D.1.a Variable Definitions

IvTime = In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)

WalkTime = Walk time, by mode:

Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere)

Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes

Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph

TranWait1 = Transit initial wait time

TranWait2 = Transit transfer wait time

TranBrds = Transit # of transfers

Tdist = Total Trip Distance

Wdist = Total Walk Distance

D.1.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp (-0.03608 \cdot \text{IvTime} - 0.09956 \cdot \text{WalkTime})$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp (-0.03608 \cdot \text{IvTime} - 0.09956 \cdot \text{WalkTime})$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp (-0.03608 \cdot \text{IvTime} - 0.09956 \cdot \text{WalkTime})$$

Transit by Walk Access

$$U = \exp (- 0.03608 * I v T i m e - 0.0576 * T r a n W a i t 1 - 0.04002 * T r a n W a i t 2 - 0.09956 * W a l k T i m e - 0.3 * (T r a n B r d s - 1))$$

Park & Ride

$$U = \exp (- 0.03608 * I v T i m e - 0.0576 * T r a n W a i t 1 - 0.04002 * T r a n W a i t 2 - 0.09956 * W a l k T i m e - 0.3 * (T r a n B r d s - 1))$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (- 3.115 * \ln (T d i s t))$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (- 4.307 * \ln (W d i s t))$$

D.1.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

The generic multimodal accessibility functions use the same variable coefficients estimated for the mode choice model, which also includes extra variables relating to specific household characteristics. The estimated coefficients for the HBW accessibility functions are included in the tables in Section F.1.c.

D.2 HBshop, HBrec, HBoth (Other Home-Based)

Mode choice model variable coefficients do not vary between the HBshop, HBrec, and HBoth trip purposes. However, the model features different constants by purpose for some modes.

D.2.a Variable Definitions

IvTime	=	In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)
WalkTime	=	Walk time, by mode: Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere) Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph
TranWait1	=	Transit initial wait time
TranWait2	=	Transit transfer wait time
TranBrds	=	Transit # of Transfers
Tdist	=	Total Trip Distance
Wdist	=	Total Walk Distance

D.2.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp (- 0.0215 * I v T i m e - 0.1033 * W a l k T i m e)$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp (- 0.0215 * I v T i m e - 0.1033 * W a l k T i m e)$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp (- 0.0215 * I v T i m e - 0.1033 * W a l k T i m e)$$

Transit by Walk Access

$$U = \exp (- 0.0215 * IvTime - 0.06847 * TranWait1 - 0.0524 * TranWait2 - 0.1033 * WalkTime - 0.3 * (TranBrds - 1))$$

Park & Ride

$$U = \exp (- 0.0215 * IvTime - 0.06847 * TranWait1 - 0.0524 * TranWait2 - 0.1033 * WalkTime - 0.3 * (TranBrds - 1))$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (- 1.804 * \ln(Tdist))$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (- 2.466 * \ln(Wdist))$$

D.2.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

The generic multimodal accessibility functions use the same variable coefficients estimated for the mode choice model, which also includes extra variables relating to specific household characteristics. The estimated coefficients for the HBshop, HBrec, and HBoth accessibility functions are included in the tables in Section F.2.c.

D.3 NHBW & NHBW (Non-Home-Based)

Cost coefficients do not vary by income group for non-home-based trips.

D.3.a Variable Definitions

IvTime	=	In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)
WalkTime	=	Walk time, by mode: Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere) Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph
TranWait1	=	Transit initial wait time
TranWait2	=	Transit transfer wait time
TranBrds	=	Transit # of Transfers
Tdist	=	Total Trip Distance
Wdist	=	Total Walk Distance

D.3.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp (- 0.025 * IvTime - 0.1493 * WalkTime)$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp (- 0.025 * IvTime - 0.1493 * WalkTime)$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp (- 0.025 * IvTime - 0.1493 * WalkTime)$$

Transit by Walk Access

$$U = \exp (- 0.025*lvTime - 0.1337*TranWait1 - 0.07895*TranWait2 - 0.1493*WalkTime) - 0.3*(TranBrds-1)$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (- 0.8608*\ln(Tdist))$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (- 1.524*\ln(Wdist))$$

D.3.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

The generic multimodal accessibility functions use the same variable coefficients estimated for the mode choice model. The estimated coefficients for the Non-Home Based accessibility functions are shown in the tables in Section F.3.b.

D.4 HBcoll (Home-Based College)

Cost coefficients do not vary by income group for college commute trips.

D.4.a Variable Definitions

lvTime	=	In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)
WalkTime	=	Walk time, by mode:
		Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere)
		Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes
		Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph
TranWait1	=	Transit initial wait time
TranWait2	=	Transit transfer wait time
TranBrds	=	Transit # of Transfers
Tdist	=	Total Trip Distance
Wdist	=	Total Walk Distance

D.4.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp (-0.05319*lvTime - 0.2111*WalkTime)$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp (- 0.05319*lvTime - 0.2111*WalkTime)$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp (- 0.05319*lvTime - 0.2111*WalkTime)$$

Transit by Walk Access

$$U = \exp (- 0.05319*lvTime - 0.0652*TranWait1 - 0.05302*TranWait2 - 0.2111*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1))$$

Park and Ride

$$U = \exp (- 0.05319 * I_vTime - 0.0652 * TranWait1 - 0.05302 * TranWait2 - 0.2111 * WalkTime - 0.3 * (TranBrds-1))$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (- 1.588 * \ln(Tdist))$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (- 2.264 * \ln(Wdist))$$

D.4.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

The generic multimodal accessibility functions use the same variable coefficients estimated for the mode choice model. The estimated coefficients for the HBcoll accessibility functions are shown in the tables in Section F.4.c.

E Destination Choice

The destination choice models were developed using a multinomial logit estimation procedure. Estimation was based on the 1994-95 household activity survey. The models were calibrated to observed flows for 2005, at which point West Hills crossing dummy variables were added with half the value of the Willamette River crossing dummy variables.

E.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)

E.1.a Variable Definitions

Logsum of multimodal accessibility functions (all modes, by income)...

LowLogSum = low income households (<\$25K)

MidLogSum = middle-income households (\$25-50K)

HighLogSum = high income households (\$50K+)

WashOr = 1 if trip crosses Columbia River from Washington to Oregon

OrWash = 1 if trip crosses Columbia River from Oregon to Washington

WillWE = 1 if trip crosses Willamette River from west to east

HillWE = 1 if trip crosses West Hills from west to east

Employment in attraction zone by two-digit SIC codes...

RetEmp = retail trade (SIC 52-59)

FinEmp = financial, insurance, real estate (FIRE) (SIC 60-67)

SvcEmp = service (SIC 70-89)

Other employment variables...

TotEmp = total employment

NonRet = all employment other than retail

NonRetSvcFin = all employment other than retail, service, and FIRE

E.1.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

HBW – Low Income Households

$$U = \exp (2.235 * LowLogSum - 0.4198 * (LowLogSum^2) + 0.0222 * (LowLogSum^3) - 1.502 * WashOr - 1.378 * OrWash - 0.4949 * WillWE - 0.4949 / 2 * HillWE + \ln (TotEmp))$$

HBW – Middle Income Households

$$U = \exp (2.097 * \text{MidLogSum} - 0.3995 * (\text{MidLogSum}^2) + 0.02524 * (\text{MidLogSum}^3) - 0.8209 * \text{WashOr} - 1.635 * \text{OrWash} - 0.3138 * \text{WillWE} - 0.3138 / 2 * \text{HillWE} + \ln (\text{RetEmp} + 1.6005 * \text{NonRet}))$$

HBW – High Income Households

$$U = \exp (1.777 * \text{HighLogSum} - 0.3908 * (\text{HighLogSum}^2) + 0.02555 * (\text{HighLogSum}^3) - 1.139 * \text{WashOr} - 1.429 * \text{OrWash} - 0.4325 * \text{WillWE} - 0.4325 / 2 * \text{HillWE} + \ln (\text{RetEmp} + 2.8605 * \text{SvcEmp} + 5.6013 * \text{FinEmp} + 2.4312 * \text{NonRetSvcFin}))$$

E.1.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 15. HBW Destination Choice Model

Variable	Low Income <25K		Middle Income 25-50K		High Income 50K+	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib LogSum</i>	2.235		2.097		1.777	
<i>Calib LogSum²</i>	-0.4198		-0.3995		-0.3908	
<i>Calib LogSum³</i>	0.0222		0.02524		0.02555	
LogSum	2.203	21.6	1.891	37.0	1.562	30.2
LogSum ²	-0.3701	-6.0	-0.3614	-11.1	-0.3164	-9.1
LogSum ³	0.01899	2.1	0.02154	4.5	0.02195	3.9
WashOr	-1.502	-8.6	-0.8209	-10.6	-1.139	-14.2
OrWash	-1.378	-5.1	-1.635	-9.7	-1.429	-9.2
WillWE	-0.4949	-3.0	-0.3138	-3.4	-0.4325	-5.6
HillWE	-0.24745		-0.1569		-0.21625	
TotEmp	1	0				
RetEmp			1	0	1	0
SvcEmp					2.8605	5.3
FinEmp					5.6013	7.9
NonRet			1.6005	4.1		
NonRetSvcFin					2.4312	5.0

E.2 HBshop, HBrec, HBoth (Other Home-Based)

E.2.a Variable Definitions

- MsLogSum = Logsum of multimodal accessibility functions
- WashOr = 1 if trip crosses Columbia River from Washington to Oregon
- OrWash = 1 if trip crosses Columbia River from Oregon to Washington
- WillWE = 1 if trip crosses Willamette River from west to east
- WillEW = 1 if trip crosses Willamette River from east to west
- HillWE = 1 if trip crosses West Hills from west to east
- HillEW = 1 if trip crosses West Hills from east to west
- Hhold = Number of households in attraction zone
- ParkAcres = Park acres in attraction zone
- Employment in attraction zone by two-digit SIC codes...
 - RetEmp = retail trade (SIC 52-59)
 - SvcEmp = service (SIC 70-89)
 - GvtEmp = government
- Other employment variables...
 - NonRet = all employment other than retail
 - NonRetSvcGvt = all employment other than retail, service, and government

E.2.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

HBShop

$$U = \exp (7.595*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3) - 2.839*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3)^2 + 0.3125*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3)^3) - 0.698*WashOr - 1.873*OrWash - 0.4855*WillWE - 0.2656*WillEW - 0.4855/2*HillWE - 0.2656/2*HillEW + \ln (RetEmp + .008396*NonRet + .022126*Hhold)$$

HBRec

$$U = \exp (5.546*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3) - 1.801*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3)^2 + 0.1907*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3)^3) - 1.209*WashOr - 1.539*OrWash - 0.2962*WillWE - 0.1703*WillEW - 0.2962/2*HillWE - 0.1703/2*HillEW + \ln (TotEmp + 1.278*Hhold + 4.6833*ParkAcres)$$

HBoth

$$U = \exp (6.476*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3) - 2.284*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3)^2 + 0.2505*((LowLogSum+MidLogSum+HighLogSum)/3)^3) - 1.36*WashOr - 1.546*OrWash - 0.456*WillWE - 0.456/2*HillWE + \ln (0.2393*Hhold + RetEmp + 0.6419*SvcEmp + 0.6109*GvtEmp + 0.06802*NonRetSvcGvt)$$

E.2.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 16. HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Destination Choice Model

Variable	HBshop		HBrec		HBoth	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib LogSum</i>	7.595		5.546		6.476	
<i>Calib LogSum²</i>	-2.839		-1.801		-2.284	
<i>Calib LogSum³</i>	0.3125		0.1907		0.2505	
LogSum	7.765	46.1	5.616	45.7	6.586	64.6
LogSum ²	-2.801	-24.0	-1.793	-19.7	-2.274	-29.7
LogSum ³	0.3179	14.5	0.1907	10.9	0.2505	17.0
WashOr	-0.698	-8.0	-1.209	-15.5	-1.36	-21.0
OrWash	-1.873	-6.3	-1.539	-9.2	-1.546	-10.2
WillWE	-0.4855	-4.8	-0.2962	-4.0	-0.456	-7.1
WillEW	-0.2656	-2.7	-0.1703	-2.4		
<i>HillWE</i>	-0.24275		-0.1481		-0.228	
<i>HillEW</i>	-0.1328		-0.08515			
Hhold	0.22126	-41.5	1.2780	4.4	0.2393	-24.2
ParkAcres			4.6833	12.2		
TotEmp			1	0		
RetEmp	1	0			1	0
SvcEmp					0.6419	-5.9
GvtEmp					0.6109	-5.9
NonRet	0.008396	-22.5				
NonRetSvcGvt					0.06802	-14.2

E.3 NHBW & NHBW (Non-Home-Based)

E.3.a Variable Definitions

MsLogSum = Logsum of multimodal accessibility functions
 WashOr = 1 if trip crosses Columbia River from Washington to Oregon
 OrWash = 1 if trip crosses Columbia River from Oregon to Washington
 WillWE = 1 if trip crosses Willamette River from west to east
 HillWE = 1 if trip crosses West Hills from west to east
 Hhold = Number of households in attraction zone
 Employment in attraction zone by two-digit SIC codes...
 RetEmp = retail trade (SIC 52-59)
 SvcEmp = service (SIC 70-89)
 GvtEmp = government
 Other employment variable...
 NonRetSvcGvt = all employment other than retail, service, and government

E.3.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

NHBW

$$U = \exp(2.874 * MsLogSum - 0.3828 * (MsLogSum^2) + 0.003828 * (MsLogSum^3) - 1.927 * WashOr + 0.2672 * OrWash - 0.2039 * WillWE - 0.2039/2 * HillWE + \ln(0.2089 * Hhold + RetEmp + 0.316 * SvcEmp + 0.236 * GvtEmp + 0.06911 * NonRetSvcGvt))$$

NHBW

$$U = \exp(3.741 * MsLogSum - 0.8652 * (MsLogSum^2) + 0.003402 * (MsLogSum^3) - 1.796 * WashOr - 0.2155 * OrWash - 0.208 * WillWE - 0.208/2 * HillWE + \ln(0.1722 * Hhold + RetEmp + 0.1125 * SvcEmp + 0.1877 * GvtEmp + 0.01555 * NonRetSvcGvt))$$

E.3.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 17. Non-Home-Based Destination Choice Model

Variable	NHBW		NHBW	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib LogSum</i>	2.874		3.741	
<i>Calib LogSum²</i>	-0.3828		-0.8652	
<i>Calib LogSum³</i>	0.003828		0.003402	
MsLogSum	2.886	46.9	3.841	65.4
MsLogSum ²	-0.3828	-10.5	-0.7402	-23.3
MsLogSum ³	N/A		N/A	
WashOr	-1.927	-17.6	-1.796	-19.7
OrWash	0.2672	3.6	-0.2155	-2.5
WillWE	-0.2039	-3.4	-0.208	-3.1
<i>HillWE</i>	-0.10195		-0.104	
Hhold	0.2089	-22.3	0.1722	-33.6
RetEmp	1	0	1	0
SvcEmp	0.316	-10.6	0.1125	-17.0
GvtEmp	0.236	-10.9	0.1877	-15.4
NonRetSvcGvt	0.06911	-14.6	0.01555	-13.2

E.4 HBcoll (Home-Based College)

HBcoll destination choice is a function of multimodal accessibility and college attractions. The multimodal accessibility value has a calibration coefficient. This simple model was not estimated by multinomial logit.

E.4.a Variable Definitions

MsLogSum = Logsum of multimodal accessibility function
Collat = HBcoll attractions

E.4.b Calibrated Choice Utility

$$U = \exp (2.50 * MsLogSum - 0.6 * (MsLogSum^2) + 0.004 * (MsLogSum^3) + \ln (Collat))$$

E.5 HBsch (Home-Based School)

$$U = \exp (\ln (ATTR_{ij}) - 0.6 * T_{ij} + 0.012 * T_{ij}^2)$$

Where:

i = from zone
j = to zone
T = mid-day auto travel time

F Mode Choice Model

Modal accessibility functions were estimated as an input to the destination choice and mode choice models. For each trip purpose, they measure the utility of choosing one of nine discrete modes.

Drive alone – only available to households with at least one car

Drive with passenger – only available to households with at least one car

Auto passenger

Bus Only by walk access – only available if both trip ends are within 1/5 mile of a Bus stop

LRT Only by walk access – only available if both trip ends are within 1/5 mile of an LRT station

Bus/LRT by walk access – only available if both trip ends are within 1/5 mile of a Bus stop and 1/2 mile of an LRT station

Transit by park & ride access – only available if one trip end is within 1/5 mile of a transit stop and the other trip end is within a park-and-ride shed.

Bike – only available for trips with a distance less than ten miles

Walk – only available for trips with a distance less than five miles

Note: Per the discussion in Section A.2.b, no more than one walk-access transit mode is used for each zone pair.

Probabilities are applied to distributed trips to determine the number of trips by each mode. An example probability of choosing the Drive Alone mode follows:

$$\text{Prob}_{\text{Drive Alone}} = \frac{U_{\text{Drive Alone}}}{(U_{\text{Drive Alone}} + U_{\text{Drive with Passenger}} + U_{\text{Auto Passenger}} + U_{\text{Walk to Bus}} + U_{\text{Walk to LRT}} + U_{\text{Walk to Bus/LRT}} + U_{\text{Park\&Ride}} + U_{\text{Bike}} + U_{\text{Walk}})}$$

F.1 HBW (Home-Based Work)

F.1.a Variable Definitions

IvTime	=	In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)
WalkTime	=	Walk time, by mode: Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere) Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph
TranWait1	=	Transit initial wait time
TranWait2	=	Transit transfer wait time
TranBrds	=	Transit # of Transfers
Tdist	=	Total Trip Distance
Wdist	=	Total Walk Distance
LowInc	=	1 if household income <\$25K
MidInc	=	1 if household income \$25-50K
HighInc	=	1 if household income \$50K+
OpCost	=	Out-of-pocket cost, by mode: Drive Alone: \$0.091 / mile Shared Ride: ½ of Drive Alone out-of-pocket cost Walk-access Transit: transit fare Park & Ride: transit fare + (\$0.091 / mile * 0.25)
MixTotA	=	Total employment access within ½ mile of attraction zone (see Section A.1.b)
MixRetP	=	Retail employment access within ½ mile of production zone (see Section A.1.b)
Work1	=	1 if one (and only one) worker in household
Cval0	=	1 if no cars in household
Cval1	=	1 if fewer cars than workers in household (cars > 0)
Cval2	=	1 if same number of cars and workers (cars > 0)
LrgHH	=	1 if large household (3+ persons)
Shadow	=	Park and ride lot shadow cost (see Section A.4)

F.1.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp(-0.03608 \cdot IvTime - 0.09956 \cdot WalkTime - 0.6587 \cdot LowInc \cdot OpCost - 0.6097 \cdot MidInc \cdot OpCost - 0.4029 \cdot HighInc \cdot OpCost - 2.169 \cdot Cval1 - 0.02914 \cdot Cval2 - 1.887 \cdot \ln(Tdist))$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp(-3.362 - 0.03608 \cdot IvTime - 0.09956 \cdot WalkTime - 0.6587 \cdot LowInc \cdot OpCost - 0.6097 \cdot MidInc \cdot OpCost - 0.4029 \cdot HighInc \cdot OpCost - 0.8725 \cdot Cval1 + 0.5853 \cdot LrgHH - 1.887 \cdot \ln(Tdist))$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp(-4.016 - 0.03608 \cdot IvTime - 0.09956 \cdot WalkTime - 0.6587 \cdot LowInc \cdot OpCost - 0.6097 \cdot MidInc \cdot OpCost - 0.4029 \cdot HighInc \cdot OpCost + 0.07042 \cdot MixTotA - 1.887 \cdot \ln(Tdist))$$

Transit by Walk Access - Bus

$$U = \exp(-4.35 - 0.03608 \cdot IvTime - 0.0576 \cdot TranWait1 - 0.04002 \cdot TranWait2 - 0.09956 \cdot WalkTime - 0.3 \cdot (TranBrds - 1) - 1.304 \cdot \ln(Tdist) - 0.6587 \cdot LowInc \cdot OpCost - 0.6097 \cdot MidInc \cdot OpCost - 0.4029 \cdot HighInc \cdot OpCost + 0.1314 \cdot MixRetP + 0.09828 \cdot \ln(MixTotA) + 0.2842 \cdot Work1 + 1.268 \cdot Cval0)$$

Transit by Walk Access - LRT

$$U = \exp (-3.809 - 0.03608*lvTime - 0.0576*TranWait1 - 0.04002*TranWait2 - 0.09956*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1) - 1.304*\ln(Tdist) - 0.6587*LowInc*OpCost - 0.6097*MidInc*OpCost - 0.4029*HighInc*OpCost + 0.1314*MixRetP + 0.09828*\ln(MixTotA) + 0.2842*Work1 + 1.268*Cval0)$$

Transit by Walk Access – LRT/Bus

$$U = \exp (-3.809 - 0.03608*lvTime - 0.0576*TranWait1 - 0.04002*TranWait2 - 0.09956*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1) - 1.304*\ln(Tdist) - 0.6587*LowInc*OpCost - 0.6097*MidInc*OpCost - 0.4029*HighInc*OpCost + 0.1314*MixRetP + 0.09828*\ln(MixTotA) + 0.2842*Work1 + 1.268*Cval0)$$

Park & Ride

$$U = \exp (-6.504 - 0.03608*lvTime - 0.0576*TranWait1 - 0.04002*TranWait2 - 0.09956*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1) - 0.6587*LowInc*OpCost - 0.6097*MidInc*OpCost - 0.4029*HighInc*OpCost + 0.5178*MixTotA - 1.498*Cval1 + Shadow)$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (-5.445 - 3.115*\ln(Tdist) + 0.1279*\ln(MixTotA))$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (-6.454 - 4.307*\ln(Wdist) + 0.3345*\ln(MixRetP))$$

F.1.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 18. HBW Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes

Variable	Drive Alone		Drive with Passenger		Auto Passenger	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>			-3.362		-4.016	
Constant			-3.262	-26.8	-3.044	-20.3
lvTime	-0.03608	-6.3	-0.03608	-6.3	-0.03608	-6.3
WalkTime	-0.09956	-9.7	-0.09956	-9.7	-0.09956	-9.7
LogTdist	-1.887	-6.4	-1.887	-6.4	-1.887	-6.4
LowIncOpCost	-0.6587	-9.5	-0.6587	-9.5	-0.6587	-9.5
MidIncOpCost	-0.6097	-12.1	-0.6097	-12.1	-0.6097	-12.1
HighIncOpCost	-0.4029	-7.1	-0.4029	-7.1	-0.4029	-7.1
MixTotA					0.07042	4.0
Cval1	-2.169	-20.7	-0.8725	-5.0		
Cval2	-0.02914	-0.4				
LrgHH			0.5853	5.0		

TABLE 19. HBW Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes

Variable	Walk Access Bus		Walk to LRT, LRT/Bus		Park & Ride	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>	-4.35		-3.809		-6.504	
Constant	-4.678	-10.0	-4.678	-10.0	-6.504	-7.3
lvttime	-0.03608	-6.3	-0.03608	-6.3	-0.03608	-6.3
Wait1	-0.0576	-5.8	-0.0576	-5.8	-0.0576	-5.8
Wait2	-0.04002	-5.2	-0.04002	-5.2	-0.04002	-5.2
WalkTime	-0.09956	-9.7	-0.09956	-9.7	-0.09956	-9.7
Transfers	-0.3	*	-0.3	*	-0.3	*
LogTdist	-1.304	-4.4	-1.304	-4.4	-1.304	-4.4
LowIncOpCost	-0.6587	-9.5	-0.6587	-9.5	-0.6587	-9.5
MidIncOpCost	-0.6097	-12.1	-0.6097	-12.1	-0.6097	-12.1
HighIncOpCost	-0.4029	-7.1	-0.4029	-7.1	-0.4029	-7.1
MixRetP	0.1314	3.9	0.1314	3.9		
MixTotA	0.09828	3.1	0.09828	3.1	0.05178	1.0
Work1	0.2842	2.5	0.2842	2.5		
Cval0	1.268	6.6	1.268	6.6		
Cval1					-1.498	-3.3

TABLE 20. HBW Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes

Variable	Bike		Walk	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>	-5.445		-6.454	
Constant	-4.867	-13.6	-5.216	-13.7
LogTdist	-3.115	-9.9		
LogWdist			-4.307	-13.9
MixTotA	0.1279	3.0		
MixRetP			0.3345	7.7

F.2 HBshop, HBrec, HBoth (Other Home-Based)

F.2.a Variable Definitions

Shop	= 1 if HBshop trip
Rec	= 1 if HBrec trip
Oth	= 1 if HBoth trip
IvTime	= In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)
WalkTime	= Walk time, by mode: Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere) Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph
TranWait1	= Transit initial wait time
TranWait2	= Transit transfer wait time
TranBrds	= Transit # of Transfers
Tdist	= Total Trip Distance
Wdist	= Total Walk Distance
LowInc	= 1 if household income <\$25K
MidInc	= 1 if household income \$25-50K
HighInc	= 1 if household income \$50K+
OpCost	= Out-of-pocket cost, by mode: Drive Alone: \$0.091 / mile Shared Ride: ½ of Drive Alone out-of-pocket cost Walk-access Transit: transit fare Park & Ride: transit fare + (\$0.091 / mile * 0.25)
MixTotA	= Total employment access within ½ mile of attraction zone (see Section A.1.b)
MixRetP	= Retail employment access within ½ mile of production zone (see Section A.1.b)
Cval0	= 1 if no cars in household
Cval1	= 1 if fewer cars than workers in household (cars > 0)
Cval2	= 1 if same number of cars and workers (cars > 0)
SingHH	= 1 if 1-person household
LrgHH	= 1 if large household (3+ persons)
Shadow	= Park and ride lot shadow cost (see Section A.4)

F.2.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp(-0.0215 * IvTime - 0.1033 * WalkTime - 0.4724 * LowInc * OpCost - 0.2457 * MidInc * OpCost - 0.2457 * HighInc * OpCost - 0.747 * \ln(Tdist))$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp(-0.6082 * Shop - 0.6602 * Rec + 0.0223 * Oth - 0.0215 * IvTime - 0.1033 * WalkTime - 0.4724 * LowInc * OpCost - 0.2457 * MidInc * OpCost - 0.2457 * HighInc * OpCost - 1.51 * SingHH + 0.8491 * LrgHH - 0.747 * \ln(Tdist))$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp(-0.6304 * Shop - 0.3492 * Rec - 0.4104 * Oth - 0.0215 * IvTime - 0.1033 * WalkTime - 0.4724 * LowInc * OpCost - 0.2457 * MidInc * OpCost - 0.2457 * HighInc * OpCost - 1.288 * SingHH + 1.307 * LrgHH - 0.747 * \ln(Tdist))$$

Transit by Walk Access - Bus

$$U = \exp (-3.85*Shop - 3.85*Rec - 4.35*Oth - 0.0215*IvTime - 0.06847*TranWait1 - 0.0524*TranWait2 - 0.1033*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1) - 0.4724*LowInc*OpCost - 0.2457*MidInc*OpCost - 0.2457*HighInc*OpCost + 0.1664*MixTotA + 1.971*Cval0 + 1.129*Cval1 + 0.2874*Cval2)$$

Transit by Walk Access - LRT

$$U = \exp (-3.635*Shop - 3.635*Rec - 4.135*Oth - 0.0215*IvTime - 0.06847*TranWait1 - 0.0524*TranWait2 - 0.1033*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1) - 0.4724*LowInc*OpCost - 0.2457*MidInc*OpCost - 0.2457*HighInc*OpCost + 0.1664*MixTotA + 1.971*Cval0 + 1.129*Cval1 + 0.2874*Cval2)$$

Transit by Walk Access – LRT/Bus

$$U = \exp (-3.635*Shop - 3.635*Rec - 4.135*Oth - 0.0215*IvTime - 0.06847*TranWait1 - 0.0524*TranWait2 - 0.1033*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1) - 0.4724*LowInc*OpCost - 0.2457*MidInc*OpCost - 0.2457*HighInc*OpCost + 0.1664*MixTotA + 1.971*Cval0 + 1.129*Cval1 + 0.2874*Cval2)$$

Park & Ride

$$U = \exp (-6.742*Shop - 7.023*Rec - 6.723*Oth - 0.0215*IvTime - 0.06847*TranWait1 - 0.0524*TranWait2 - 0.1033*WalkTime - 0.3*(TranBrds-1) - 0.4724*LowInc*OpCost - 0.2457*MidInc*OpCost - 0.2457*HighInc*OpCost + 0.3073*\ln(MixTotA) + Shadow)$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (-4.105*Shop - 2.605*Rec - 4.194*Oth - 1.804*\ln(Tdist))$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (-3.458*Shop - 2.461*Rec - 3.547*Oth - 2.466*\ln(Wdist) + 0.1248*\ln(MixRetP) + 0.5997*LrgHH)$$

F.2.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 21. HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes

Variable	Drive Alone		Drive with Passenger		Auto Passenger	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Shop</i>			-0.6082		-0.6304	
<i>Calib Rec</i>			-0.6602		-0.3492	
<i>Calib Oth</i>			0.0223		-0.4104	
Shop			-0.4904	-6.3	-0.5719	-7.4
Rec			-0.585	-7.3	-0.2595	-3.3
Oth			0.153	2.1	-0.3144	-4.2
IvTime	-0.0215	-3.2	-0.0215	-3.2	-0.0215	-3.2
WalkTime	-0.1033	-8.3	-0.1033	-8.3	-0.1033	-8.3
LogTdist	-0.747	-7.8	-0.747	-7.8	-0.747	-7.8
LowIncOpCost	-0.4724	-6.8	-0.4724	-6.8	-0.4724	-6.8
MidIncOpCost	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2
HighIncOpCost	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2
Cval1	-0.3965	-5.4	-0.1503	-2.1		
SingHH			-1.51	-18.7	-1.288	-17.6
LrgHH			0.8491	21.1	1.307	32.8

TABLE 22. HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes

Variable	Walk Access Bus		Walk to LRT, LRT/Bus		Park & Ride	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Shop</i>	-3.85		-3.635		-6.472	
<i>Calib Rec</i>	-3.85		-3.635		-7.023	
<i>Calib Oth</i>	-4.35		-4.135		-6.723	
Constant	-4.429	-11.3	-4.429	-11.3	-7.023	-3.8
IvTime	-0.0215	-3.2	-0.0215	-3.2	-0.0215	-3.2
TranWait1	-0.06847	-5.4	-0.06847	-5.4	-0.06847	-5.4
TranWait2	-0.0524	-4.8	-0.0524	-4.8	-0.0524	-4.8
WalkTime	-0.1033	-8.3	-0.1033	-8.3	-0.1033	-8.3
TranBrds	-0.3	*	-0.3	*	-0.3	*
LowIncOpCost	-0.4724	-6.8	-0.4724	-6.8	-0.4724	-6.8
MidIncOpCost	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2
HighIncOpCost	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2	-0.2457	-5.2
MixTotA	0.1664	4.4	0.1664	4.4	0.3073	1.5
Cval0	1.971	11.3	1.971	11.3		
Cval1	1.129	5.5	1.129	5.5		
Cval2	0.2874	1.8	0.2874	1.8		

TABLE 23. HBshop, HBrec, HBoth Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes

Variable	Bike		Walk	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Shop</i>	-4.105		-3.458	
<i>Calib Rec</i>	-2.605		-2.461	
<i>Calib Oth</i>	-4.194		-3.547	
Constant	-3.734	-37.2	-2.622	-18.3
Rec	1.008	7.8		
LogTdist	-1.804	-14.1		
LogWdist			-2.466	-22.1
MixRetP			0.1248	7.6
LrgHH			0.5997	9.7

F.3 NHBW & NHBW (Non-Home-Based)

Cost coefficients do not vary by income group for non-home-based trips.

F.3.a Variable Definitions

- NHBW = 1 if NHBW trip
- NHBW = 1 if NHBW trip
- lvTime = In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)
- WalkTime = Walk time, by mode:
 - Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere)
 - Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes
 - Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph
- TranWait1 = Transit initial wait time
- TranWait2 = Transit transfer wait time
- TranBrds = Transit # of Transfers
- Tdist = Total Trip Distance
- Wdist = Total Walk Distance
- OpCost = Out-of-pocket cost, by mode:
 - Drive Alone: \$0.091 / mile
 - Shared Ride: ½ of Drive Alone out-of-pocket cost
 - Walk-access Transit: transit fare
- MixRetP = Retail employment access within ½ mile of production zone (see Section A.1.b)

Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp (-0.025*lvTime - 0.1493*WalkTime - 0.2916*OpCost)$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp (-1.202*NHBW + 0.4297*NHBW - 0.025*lvTime - 0.1493*WalkTime - 0.2916*OpCost)$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp (-1.745*NHBW + 0.757*NHBW - 0.025*lvTime - 0.1493*WalkTime - 0.2916*OpCost - 0.06557*\ln(Tdist))$$

Transit by Walk Access - Bus

$$U = \exp (-1.863 \cdot NHBW - 1.434 \cdot NHBNW - 0.025 \cdot IvTime - 0.1337 \cdot TranWait1 - 0.07895 \cdot TranWait2 - 0.1493 \cdot WalkTime - 0.3 \cdot (TranBrds-1) - 0.2916 \cdot OpCost + 0.771 \cdot \ln(Tdist))$$

Transit by Walk Access - LRT

$$U = \exp (-1.613 \cdot NHBW - 1.184 \cdot NHBNW - 0.025 \cdot IvTime - 0.1337 \cdot TranWait1 - 0.07895 \cdot TranWait2 - 0.1493 \cdot WalkTime - 0.3 \cdot (TranBrds-1) - 0.2916 \cdot OpCost + 0.771 \cdot \ln(Tdist))$$

Transit by Walk Access - LRT/Bus

$$U = \exp (-1.613 \cdot NHBW - 1.184 \cdot NHBNW - 0.025 \cdot IvTime - 0.1337 \cdot TranWait1 - 0.07895 \cdot TranWait2 - 0.1493 \cdot WalkTime - 0.3 \cdot (TranBrds-1) - 0.2916 \cdot OpCost + 0.771 \cdot \ln(Tdist))$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (-4.687 \cdot NHBW - 4.488 \cdot NHBNW - 0.8608 \cdot \ln(Tdist))$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (-4.289 \cdot NHBW - 4.054 \cdot NHBNW - 1.524 \cdot \ln(Wdist) + 0.2553 \cdot \ln(MixRetP))$$

F.3.b Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 24. Non-Home-Based Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes

Variable	Drive Alone		Drive with Passenger		Auto Passenger	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib NHBW</i>			-1.202		-1.745	
<i>Calib NHBNW</i>			0.4297		0.757	
NHBW			-1.393	-12.0	-1.476	-12.6
NHBNW			0.4992	4.7	0.7225	6.8
IvTime	-0.025	*	-0.025	*	-0.025	*
WalkTime	-0.1493	-7.6	-0.1493	-7.6	-0.1493	-7.6
LogTdist					-0.06557	-3.0
OpCost	-0.2916	-7.3	-0.2916	-7.3	-0.2916	-7.3

TABLE 25. Non-Home-Based Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes

Variable	Walk Access Bus		Walk to LRT, LRT/Bus	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	T-Statistic	T-Statistic
<i>Calib NHBW</i>	-1.863		-1.613	
<i>Calib NHBNW</i>	-1.434		-1.184	
Constant	-2.384	-15.2	-2.384	-15.2
IvTime	-0.025	*	-0.025	*
TranWait1	-0.1337	-6.3	-0.1337	-6.3
TranWait2	-0.07895	-5.2	-0.07895	-5.2
WalkTime	-0.1493	-7.6	-0.1493	-7.6
TranBrds	-0.3	*	-0.3	*
LogTdist	0.771	8.6	0.771	8.6
OpCost	-0.2916	-7.3	-0.2916	-7.3

TABLE 26. Non-Home-Based Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes

Variable	Bike		Walk	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib NHBW</i>	-4.687		-4.289	
<i>Calib NHBNW</i>	-4.488		-4.054	
Constant	-4.367	-37.6	-3.924	-18.9
LogTdist	-0.8608	-8.0		
LogWdist			-1.524	-32.2
MixRetP			0.2553	10.6

F.4 HBcoll (Home-Based College)

Cost coefficients do not vary by income group for college commute trips.

F.4.a Variable Definitions

- IvTime = In-vehicle travel time (varies by mode)
- WalkTime = Walk time, by mode:
 - Drive Alone: vehicle egress at trip end (5 min in CBD, 2 min elsewhere)
 - Shared Ride: Drive Alone walk time plus 5 minutes
 - Transit Modes: access to first stop plus egress from last stop at 3 mph
- TranWait1 = Transit initial wait time
- TranWait2 = Transit transfer wait time
- TranBrds = Transit # of Transfers
- Tdist = Total Trip Distance
- Wdist = Total Walk Distance
- OpCost = Out-of-pocket cost, by mode:
 - Drive Alone: \$0.091 / mile
 - Shared Ride: ½ of Drive Alone out-of-pocket cost
 - Walk-access Transit: transit fare
 - Park & Ride: transit fare + (\$0.091 / mile * 0.25)
- MixRetP = Retail employment access within ½ mile of production zone (see Section A.1.b)
- MixTotA = Total employment access within ½ mile of attraction zone (see Section A.1.b)
- Cval0 = 1 if no cars in household
- Cval1 = 1 if fewer cars than workers in household (cars > 0)
- LrgHH = 1 if large household (3+ persons)
- Shadow = Park and ride lot shadow cost (see Section A.4)

F.4.b Calibrated Choice Utilities

Drive Alone

$$U = \exp (-0.05319 \cdot IvTime - 0.2111 \cdot WalkTime - 0.1407 \cdot OpCost - 0.5914 \cdot Cval1)$$

Drive with Passenger

$$U = \exp (-1.996 - 0.05319 \cdot IvTime - 0.2111 \cdot WalkTime - 0.1407 \cdot OpCost + 1.175 \cdot LrgHH)$$

Auto Passenger

$$U = \exp (1.152 - 0.05319 \cdot IvTime - 0.2111 \cdot WalkTime - 0.1407 \cdot OpCost + 1.128 \cdot Cval1 - 0.7154 \cdot \ln(Tdist) - 0.1271 \cdot \ln(MixTotA))$$

Transit by Walk Access - Bus

$$U = \exp (-0.532 - 0.05319 \cdot \text{lvTime} - 0.0652 \cdot \text{TranWait1} - 0.05302 \cdot \text{TranWait2} - 0.2111 \cdot \text{WalkTime} - 0.3 \cdot (\text{TranBrds}-1) - 0.1407 \cdot \text{OpCost} + 0.1941 \cdot \text{Cval0} + 1.022 \cdot \ln(\text{Tdist}))$$

Transit by Walk Access - LRT

$$U = \exp (-0.05319 \cdot \text{lvTime} - 0.0652 \cdot \text{TranWait1} - 0.05302 \cdot \text{TranWait2} - 0.2111 \cdot \text{WalkTime} - 0.3 \cdot (\text{TranBrds}-1) - 0.1407 \cdot \text{OpCost} + 0.1941 \cdot \text{Cval0} + 1.022 \cdot \ln(\text{Tdist}))$$

Transit by Walk Access – LRT/Bus

$$U = \exp (-0.05319 \cdot \text{lvTime} - 0.0652 \cdot \text{TranWait1} - 0.05302 \cdot \text{TranWait2} - 0.2111 \cdot \text{WalkTime} - 0.3 \cdot (\text{TranBrds}-1) - 0.1407 \cdot \text{OpCost} + 0.1941 \cdot \text{Cval0} + 1.022 \cdot \ln(\text{Tdist}))$$

Park and Ride

$$U = \exp (-1.1 - 0.05319 \cdot \text{lvTime} - 0.0652 \cdot \text{TranWait1} - 0.05302 \cdot \text{TranWait2} - 0.2111 \cdot \text{WalkTime} - 0.3 \cdot (\text{TranBrds}-1) - 0.1407 \cdot \text{OpCost} + \text{Shadow})$$

Bike

$$U = \exp (0.086 - 1.588 \cdot \ln(\text{Tdist}) + 0.2431 \cdot \text{MixTotA})$$

Walk

$$U = \exp (-2.292 - 2.264 \cdot \ln(\text{Wdist}) + 0.386 \cdot \text{MixRetP})$$

F.4.c Estimated Variable Coefficients

TABLE 27. HBcoll Mode Choice Model – Auto Modes

Variable	Drive Alone		Drive with Passenger		Auto Passenger	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>			-1.996		1.152	
Constant			1.839	-4.2	0.8889	2.2
lvTime	-0.05319	-2.9	-0.05319	-2.9	-0.05319	-2.9
WalkTime	-0.2111	-3.7	-0.2111	-3.7	-0.2111	-3.7
LogTdist	-0.8608	-8.0			-0.7154	-5.5
OpCost	-0.1407	-1.2	-0.1407	-1.2	-0.1407	-1.2
LrgHH			1.175	3.7		
Cval1	-0.5914	-1.9			1.128	3.4
MixTotA					-0.1271	-3.6

TABLE 28. HBcoll Mode Choice Model – Transit Modes

Variable	Walk Access Bus		Walk to LRT, LRT/Bus		Park & Ride	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>	-0.532		0		-1.1	
Constant	-1.175	-3.4	-1.175	-3.4	-1.175	-3.4
lvTime	-0.05319	-2.9	-0.05319	-2.9	-0.05319	-2.9
TranWait1	-0.0652	-2.7	-0.0652	-2.7	-0.0652	-2.7
TranWait2	-0.05302	-2.3	-0.05302	-2.3	-0.05302	-2.3
WalkTime	-0.2111	-3.7	-0.2111	-3.7	-0.2111	-3.7
TranBrds	-0.3	*	-0.3	*	-0.3	*
LogTdist	-0.8608	-8.0	1.022	3.5		
OpCost	-0.1407	-1.2	-0.1407	-1.2	-0.1407	-1.2
Cval0	0.1941	0.5	0.1941	0.5		

TABLE 29. HBcoll Mode Choice Model – Nonmotorized Modes

Variable	Bike		Walk	
	Coefficient	T-Statistic	Coefficient	T-Statistic
<i>Calib Constant</i>	0.086		-2.292	
Constant	-4.211	-5.5	-4.271	-5.8
LogTdist	-1.588	-6.9		
LogWdist			-2.264	-11.2
MixRetP			0.3855	4.5
MixTotA	0.2431	2.8		

F.5 HBsch (Home-Based School)

The HBsch model is a simple cross-classification into mode by location of production (home). This accounts for varying levels of school bus service provision between school districts. District definitions refer to the 8-district boundaries shown in [Appendix B](#).

TABLE 30. HBsch Mode Choice Model

Location	Dist	Auto Driver	Auto Passenger	City Transit	Walk or Bike	School Bus
City of Portland	1,5,7	0.0383	0.2487	0.1406	0.4068	0.1656
East Suburbs	4,6	0.062	0.2256	0.0143	0.2178	0.4803
West Suburbs	2,3	0.1015	0.1936	0.0148	0.1943	0.4958
Clark County	8	0.1184	0.2485	0.0065	0.2469	0.3797

G Time of Day Factors

Time of day travel is estimated separately for auto and transit, and the factors are direction-specific. Factors can be estimated for any hour by using start time data from the 1994-95 household activity survey. The most commonly used time of day factors are given in the following tables.

TABLE 31. Auto Peaking Factors

	AM2 0700-0859	AM4 0600-0959	MD1_12-1 1200-1259	MD1_2-3 1400-1459	PM2 1600-1759	PM4 1500-1859
HBW						
1-2 person HH Attractions	0.0062	0.0124	0.0193	0.0263	0.1853	0.2965
3-4 person HH Attractions	0.0066	0.0098	0.0193	0.0263	0.1932	0.3137
1-2 person HH Productions	0.2456	0.3695	0.0154	0.0150	0.0169	0.0284
3-4 person HH Productions	0.2342	0.3626	0.0154	0.0150	0.0173	0.0352
HBshop,rec,other						
0-1 worker HH Attractions	0.0264	0.0446	0.0281	0.0326	0.0888	0.1732
2-3 worker HH Attractions	0.0246	0.0403	0.0281	0.0326	0.1104	0.2142
0-1 worker HH Productions	0.0760	0.1340	0.0253	0.0310	0.0518	0.1303
2-3 worker HH Productions	0.0947	0.1397	0.0253	0.0310	0.0638	0.1477

NHBW

Attractions	0.1250	0.1683	0.0437	0.0220	0.0121	0.0227
Productions	0.0262	0.0534	0.0839	0.0553	0.1897	0.2913

NHBNW

Total - divide by 2 for P&A	0.0819	0.1365	0.0862	0.0943	0.1630	0.3061
-----------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

College

Attractions	0.0067	0.0137	0.0509	0.0489	0.0869	0.1411
Productions	0.2577	0.3228	0.0289	0.0048	0.0161	0.0821

School

Attractions	0.0011	0.0119	0.0182	0.1623	0.0513	0.1524
Productions	0.5054	0.5275	0.0102	0.0045	0.0250	0.0140

Auto trips are adjusted to match counts during some peak periods.

TABLE 32. Adjustments to Auto Peaking Factors

	AM2	AM4	MD1_12-1	MD1_2-3	PM2	PM4
	0700-0859	0600-0959	1200-1259	1400-1459	1600-1759	1500-1859
<u>Columbia River Crossings</u>						
Oregon to Washington	1.0	1.6	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.78
Washington to Oregon	1.0	0.7	0.85	1.0	1.0	1.2
<u>Hayden Island – Washington</u>						
Hayden Island to Washington	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
Washington to Hayden Island	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5
<u>West Hills Crossings</u>						
West to East	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
East to West	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.0
<u>Willamette River Crossings</u>						
West to East	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.75	1.0
East to West	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

TABLE 33. Transit Peaking Factors

	Peak Portion of All Day	Off-Peak Portion of All Day		PM 1-Hour Peak	PM 2-Hour Peak
<u>HBW</u>			<u>HBW</u>		
Peak Direction	0.4806	0.5194	Attractions	0.1554	0.2380
Off-Peak Direction	0.0106	0.9894	Productions	0.0009	0.0046
<u>HBshop,rec,other</u>			<u>HBshop,rec,other</u>		
Peak Direction	0.2423	0.7577	Attractions	0.0627	0.1311
Off-Peak Direction	0.0607	0.9393	Productions	0.0208	0.0558
<u>NHBW</u>			<u>NHBW</u>		
Peak Direction	0.0353	0.9647	Attractions	0.0001	0.0094
Off-Peak Direction	0.3426	0.6574	Productions	0.1695	0.2404
<u>NHBNW</u>			<u>NHBNW</u>		
Peak Direction	0.10045	0.89955	Attractions	0.0209	0.04095
Off-Peak Direction	0.10045	0.89955	Productions	0.0209	0.04095
<u>College</u>			<u>College</u>		
Peak Direction	0.3421	0.6579	Attractions	0.0254	0.0543
Off-Peak Direction	0.0696	0.9304	Productions	0.0057	0.0696
<u>School</u>			<u>School</u>		
Peak Direction	0.4468	0.5532	Attractions	0.0390	0.0922
Off-Peak Direction	0.0088	0.9912	Productions	0.0088	0.0088

H Assignment

H.1 Auto Assignment

The model is developed in EMME/2 macro language and run with EMME/2 software. This package has a full capacity-restrained equilibrium path-finding algorithm. The number of lanes, lane capacity, initial speed, and distance are all link attributes. The link capacity, initial speed, and distance are attributes used in estimating the speed under two given flow rates. Autos and trucks are often assigned simultaneously using a multi-class assignment technique. Truck delays on the arterial system are higher than that for autos. Hence, truck flows tend to use higher order facilities in the path choice algorithm. Trucks are assigned as passenger car equivalents (PCEs) to account for the different space consuming characteristics.

H.2 Transit Assignment

Transit multipath assignment follows full auto assignment and 1) determines the shortest path using total impedance (weighting can be used), 2) identifies and collects all other alternative paths with in-vehicle impedance less than or equal to the total shortest path value, and 3) assigns trips to the subset of alternative paths based on the relative first wait impedance for each of them.

Transit speed is a function of the existing auto volumes on each network link, unless the transit vehicle is on its own right of way.

I External Model

The characteristics of external trips are different from the other purposes, so the procedure to calculate the trips is not the same as the others. The following steps are used to model external trip generation.

1. Calculate Average Weekday (AWD) target volume for each external location
2. Calculate Average Weekday (AWD) target volume for five trip components at each station by using percents from the 1987 external travel survey. The components follow:
 - External-Internal Home-Based Work Trips
 - External-Internal Non-Home-Based Work Trips
 - Internal-External Recreational Trips
 - Internal-External Non-Recreational Trips
 - External-External Trips

TABLE 34. External Destination Choice Equations

Ext-Int HBW	Estimation & Calibration	$U = \exp (\ln(ATTR_i) - 0.135*T_{ij})$
Ext-Int NonHBW	Estimation & Calibration	$U = \exp (\ln(ATTR_i) - 0.125*T_{ij})$
Int-Ext Rec	Estimation & Calibration	$U = \exp (0.0002448*AWD - 0.03474*T_{ij})$
Int-Ext NonRec	Estimation & Calibration	$U = \exp (0.0001106*AWD - 0.07041*T_{ij})$
Ext-Ext	Calibration	using percents from 1987 cordon survey

Where:

- i = from zone
- j = to zone
- T = travel time
- AWD = average weekday traffic volume

Certain movements are restricted within the externals program; this is done to prevent illogical entry and exit combinations. External trips are added to the auto trip table at the end of the modeling process, but before trip assignment.

J Truck Model

Data from the strategic model database are the primary inputs to the tactical model. These data are reported in tons (also available in TEUs) and stratified by commodity group, primary mode, origin, destination, truck sub-mode (for some modes), containerized/non-containerized, and year (1996 to 2030).

J.1 Allocation of Flows to Truck Sub-modes

The total numbers of tons were summed by commodity, mode, and direction. These flows were then separated by primary mode. Where available, the flows were further stratified by truck sub-mode. The sea_truck, air_truck, and truck only groups directly or indirectly had truck sub-mode identified in the ICF database. Where truck sub-mode was not available, the Port provided some guidance to determine the factors.

Mode	Commodity	Private	LTL	TL
Rail_truck	All	50%	25%	25%
Sea_rail_dray	All	50%	25%	25%
Barge_truck	All	0%	0%	100%

J.2 Application of Weekday Factor

A simple 1/264 factor was used to reduce annual flows to daily. No seasonal adjustments were made.

J.3 Allocation of Flows with local Origins/Destinations to TAZs

Metro Data Resource Center was able to provide 1996 Employment data by 2-digit SIC. They were grouped as follows:

SIC Employment Group	SICs
Agriculture/Farming/Forestry (AGFF)	1-9
Mining (MIN)	10-14
Construction (CON)	15-17
Manufacturing (MAN)	20-39
Transportation/Communications/Public Utilities (TCPU)	40-49
Wholesale (WHLS)	50-51
Retail (RET)	52-59
Finance/Insurance/Real Estate (FIRE)	60-67
Service (SERV)	70-89
Government (GOV)	91-97

With CS guidance, the employment sectors that were likely to generate or attract freight were identified. Those SIC groups were the following:

- Agriculture/Farming/Forestry (AGFF)
- Mining (MIN)
- Construction (CON)
- Manufacturing (MAN)
- Transportation/Communications/Public Utilities (TCPU)
- Wholesale (WHLS)

The flows were allocated to regional TAZs using these SIC employment totals. Beginning with this step (2.1), all steps are performed in the EMME/2 software environment.

J.4 Allocation of Flows to Terminals and Other Regional “Gateways”

Those flows that entered or left the region at specific sites such as railyards, barge terminals, marine facilities, the airport, and external points were assigned one trip end at those places.

Based on discussions with the Port staff, percentages were determined to allocate flows to each of the specific rail, barge, ship, and air facilities.

Rail flows were allocated equally to the three main railyards in the region. All commodities were given the same percentage.

Railyard	Percent of Total Rail_Truck Flows
Albina	33%
Brooklyn	33%
Wilbridge	33%

Ship to truck flows were given commodity-specific distribution percentages for Portland vs. Vancouver, as per Port staff designations. These marine facilities included Portland and Vancouver locations along the Willamette and Columbia Rivers. Within Portland or Vancouver, the flows were allocated equally among the zones specified.

Railyards

Commodity	Import	Import	Export	Export	TAZs (1260-zone system)
	% Portland	% Vancouver	% Portland	% Vancouver	
Farm Products	80	20	70	30	6,846, 925,970,971
Metallic Minerals & Coal	40	60	40	60	25,925, 970,971
Non-metallic Minerals	100	0	70	30	25,925, 970,971
Chemicals	80	20	95	5	25,925, 970,971
Petroleum Products	95	5	70	30	25,26, 925,970,971
Stone, Clay, Concrete, Ceramic, or Glass	100	0	70	30	25,26, 950,852,912,925,970,971
Food, Fish, & Marine Products, Tobacco	80	20	99	1	925,970,971
Lumber or Wood Products, Furniture	80	20	80	20	25,26, 970,971
Pulp, Paper, & Printed Matter	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Primary & Fabricated Metal Products	80	20	70	30	851,925,970,971
Machinery & Electrical Equipment	80	20	70	30	19,25,26,925,970,971
Transportation Equipment	80	20	70	30	925,926,971,971
Misc. Manufactures, Instruments, Ordnance	80	20	70	30	925,970,971
Textiles, Apparel, Leather, and Products	80	20	70	30	19,25,26,925,970,971
Waste by-Products	80	20	70	30	925
Courier Services (packages)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Barge flows were allocated in a similar fashion:

Commodity	Inbound	Inbound	Outbound	Outbound	TAZs (1260-zone system)
	% Portland	% Vancouver	% Portland	% Vancouver	
Farm Products	70	30	94	6	6,846, 925,970,971
Metallic Minerals & Coal	83	17	94	6	25,925, 970,971
Non-metallic Minerals	83	17	94	6	25,925, 970,971
Chemicals	83	17	94	6	25,925, 970,971
Petroleum Products	93	7	94	6	25,26, 925,970,971
Stone, Clay, Concrete, Ceramic, or Glass	83	17	94	6	25,26, 950,852,912,925,970,971
Food, Fish, & Marine Products, Tobacco	83	17	94	6	925,970,971
Lumber or Wood Products, Furniture	83	17	94	6	25,26, 970,971
Pulp, Paper, & Printed Matter	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Primary & Fabricated Metal Products	83	17	94	6	851,925,970,971
Machinery & Electrical Equipment	83	17	94	6	19,25,26,925,970,971
Transportation Equipment	83	17	94	6	925,926,971,971
Misc. Manufactures, Instruments, Ordnance	83	17	94	6	925,970,971
Textiles, Apparel, Leather, and Products	83	17	94	6	19,25,26,925,970,971
Waste by-Products	83	17	94	6	925
Courier Services (packages)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

External highway points are gateways for flows entering or leaving the region by truck. The ICF database identified three external directions (North, South, and other). The I-5 north external, in Clark County, was designated the gateway for all North flows; the I-84 east external for all Other flows; and the I-5 south external for all South flows. All other external points were assumed not to carry any significant truck traffic for external points, as defined by ICF.

J.5 Linking of flow Origins and Destinations

Proportions used to determine distribution of flows between origins and destinations were determined by the employment allocations.

J.6 Linkage of Commodity Flows to Reload Facilities or Terminals

As recommended in the CS document, all LTL flows from non-truck primary modes were allocated to reload facilities. The Port suggested designating all LTL flows for reload, so 100% of the truck-only LTL flows were also directed to reload and truck terminals. In addition, 3% of the TL/Private flows are routed through reload facilities. Port staff indicated that a small fraction of shipments transported by private trucks are reloaded. No unique factors were obtained for the separate commodity groups.

J.7 Allocation of LTL Flows to Reload Facilities

All LTL flows were routed through reload facilities, truck terminals, or distribution centers based on the proportion of expected total daily truck-ins and -outs. First, a list of reload facilities, truck terminals, and distribution centers was constructed to form the universe of all possible intermediate destinations for these flows. This list included the location (TAZ) of each site and number of employees. Other size variables, such as number of freight doors and square footage, that could have been used to estimate activity was included in the database, but not complete for all locations. Thus, the number of employees was used with the count data collected at select locations to estimate daily ins/outs at each facility in the database. Where available, actual count data was used. For all remaining sites, a factor of 1.75 truck ins/outs per employee was used to estimate activity. The daily ins/outs at each location were summed by TAZ. This data was then used to allocate the LTL flows to the possible intermediate destinations around the region. The same proportions were used to “back into” determining the allocation of the “second” leg of the flow from the reload facilities to the destination TAZ.

J.8 Modeling Pickup and Delivery Tours

Insufficient data were available to simulate pickup and delivery tours. This version of the model does not contain additional processing to replicate this type of trip. However, the zonal goods are picked up by fractions of trucks. The fraction being determined by the commodity load factor.

J.9 Apply Heavy Truck Flow Fractions to Remove Flows Made by Non-Heavy Trucks

Highway vehicle classification counts were used to develop average percentages of heavy versus non-heavy trucks on the system. This, combined with average weight carried by each truck type, produced split factors for flows carried by each truck type. The heavy and non-heavy truck count split was 70% and 30%, respectively. This translated to 92% of flows being carried by heavy trucks and the remaining 8% on medium trucks.

J.10 Apply Flow to Truck Trip Factors

Separate commodity class tons to truck trip factors were obtained for the heavy and medium trucks using data from the VIUS (Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey) which was provided to us by Cambridge staff.

Commodity Group	Heavy Trucks		Medium Trucks	
	Trips < 50 miles	Trips 50 to 500 miles	Trips < 50 miles	Trips 50 to 500 miles
Farm Products	19	22	6	11
Metallic Minerals & Coal	23	23	12	16
Non-metallic Minerals	23	23	12	16
Chemicals	18	21	6	12
Petroleum Products	21	24	5	10
Stone, Clay, Concrete, Ceramic, or Glass	23	23	12	16
Food, Fish, & Marine Products, Tobacco	18	20	4	7
Lumber or Wood Products, Furniture	16	19	3	8
Pulp, Paper, & Printed Matter	18	19	4	9
Primary & Fabricated Metal Products	18	20	4	7
Machinery & Electrical Equipment	17	19	3	5
Transportation Equipment	17	18	3	5
Misc. Manufactures, Instruments, Ordnance	13	17	2	5
Textiles, Apparel, Leather, and Products	17	19	3	7
Waste by-Products	11	16	5	5
Courier Services (packages)	17	19	7	10

These values were in-line with the Port's estimate of average FEU weight overall of 21 tons/FEU. Based on discussions with Port staff, all TL/PVT flows were assigned to heavy trucks, except for those with origins/destinations in high density, central city areas. We assumed that flows with origins/destinations in the central city are transported primarily by medium trucks. All LTL and TL/PVT flows were put in medium trucks for those TAZs.

J.11 Estimate Additional Vehicle Trip Segment Trip Ends (Unbalanced)

Each matrix of commodity flows was reviewed to determine unbalanced trip origins and destinations. For any given zone, if the origins did not equal the destinations, the smaller

of the two was increased to match the other. The purpose of this step is to partially account for empty truck moves.

J.12 Estimate Additional Vehicle Trip Segment Trip Ends (Balanced)

Certain movements such as repositioning and container maintenance require the addition of more truck trips to the trip table(s). However, at this point, there were limited data to estimate such trips. The only additional trips generated in this step were LTL trips to make up the difference between the reload and truck terminal counts (summed by TAZ) and the volumes produced by the tactical model.

J.13 Estimate Through Truck Trips

External-to-external flows which do not involve a mode change inside the region are not included in the strategic model database. Trip tables for medium and heavy external-to external moves were developed independently of the tactical model and then combined with the other trip tables just before assignment.

J.14 Estimate Truck Trip Table by Time of Day

Peaking factors were developed using regional highway count data and reload facility counts. A weighted average of all vehicle classification counts was used to develop the following factors:

A.M. 2-Hour: 12.6% of all day

Midday 1-Hour: 8% of all day, region wide; 5.6% at reload facilities

P.M. 2-Hour: 9.4% of all day

J.15 Assign AWD Truck Trips to Network

For initial review, a multi-class assignment was run using heavy trucks, medium trucks, and passenger cars as the three vehicle classes. All commodity groups were added together to form the two truck trip tables. If necessary, individual commodity class trip tables, could be assigned separately. However, software limitations prohibit all 16 commodity groups to be assigned at the same time without some aggregation.

Before assignment, the truck trip tables are converted to passenger car equivalents (PCEs). This is done to account for the extra space trucks take up on the road and the extra time they take to stop at intersections and accelerate on inclines.

The network also includes separate volume delay functions for the heavy trucks. That is, they are assigned an extra delay or cost when traveling on a non-freeway link in the system. This encourages the larger vehicles to use the freeway links, which more accurately reflects their true route choice.

Roadway counts were used for validation purposes. Model generated assigned trips are compared to observed counts. There are 80 counts locations included in the data set.

The freeway volumes matched fairly well with counts (most within 10%, a few over 20%) and the arterials were generally a little low compared with counts. We believe this is due to the under-representation of pickup and delivery tour intermediate stops.

K Portland International Airport Model

Trips to/from the zone containing the Portland International Airport (PDX) terminal are generated by a special model.

Home-Based Work trips to/from the PDX zone are retained from the household model. The sole change is an auto out-of-vehicle time of 5 minutes (equivalent to CBD zones) rather than 2 minutes (as in the rest of the region) to account for distance to the free employee parking.

Household model trips to/from the PDX zone for all other trip purposes are replaced by airport passenger trips as described in the following sections.

K.1 Enplanements as Inputs to the Model

Total number of enplanements (less transferring passengers) was used as the starting point. The Port of Portland supplied the average number of passengers that boarded their first flight or arrived on their last flight at PDX on a typical weekday in May 2005. The Airport Model assumes that on any given weekday, half of these passengers arrive at PDX and half depart PDX.

In 2005 the Port of Portland forecasted 2.8% annual growth in PDX passenger traffic over the period 2006-2010. This rate is applied to all future years to determine future enplanements/deplanements. For example, 34,617 passengers in 2005 grows to 69,043 in 2030. For 2030, 34,521.5 are treated as productions and 34,521.5 are treated as attractions.

K.2 Airport Mode Choice

While there is a diverse array of potential travel modes to/from PDX (including rental cars, taxis, limousines, vans, and shuttles), Metro lacks survey data on ground transportation choices of PDX passengers that date from the installation of LRT service to PDX in 2001. The PDX mode choice model thus splits arriving and departing passengers into Auto and LRT modes on the basis of the following data provided by the Port of Portland for FY 2006 (July 2005-June 2006):

- Business travelers represent 41% of passengers; 4% of them use LRT.
- Leisure travelers represent 59% of passengers; 7.1% of them use LRT.

For both productions and attractions, mode choice for passenger trips to/from PDX is determined as follows:

- Business Auto: Trips * 41% * 96% (in the case of 2030 productions, 13,587.7)
- Business LRT: Trips * 41% * 4% (in the case of 2030 productions, 566.2)
- Leisure Auto: Trips * 59% * 92.9% (in the case of 2030 productions, 18,921.6)
- Leisure LRT: Trips * 59% * 7.1% (in the case of 2030 productions, 1,446.1)

Auto occupancy of Airport auto trips is assumed to be 2.0. In the 2030 example, this generates 13,587.7 total Business vehicle trips and 18,921.6 total Leisure vehicle trips (productions + attractions / 2).

Neither parking cost nor transit fare play any role in this airport passenger mode choice model.

K.3 Airport Trip Distribution

Distribution of airport passenger trips takes place after mode choice. Airport passenger trips are apportioned among all zones (other than the airport zone) according to the following protocol:

- Business Vehicles: apportioned among all zones according to their share of the region's total employment
- Business LRT: apportioned among all zones with walk access to LRT according to their share of those zones' total employment
- Leisure Vehicles: apportioned among all zones according to their share of the region's total households
- Leisure LRT: apportioned among all zones with walk access to LRT according to their share of those zones' total households

Distance from PDX is not considered by this distribution model. Absent data on transfers of airport LRT trips to Bus or Park & Ride, all airport passenger LRT trips are LRT-only.

K.4 Airport Peaking

Airport peaks do not correspond to peaks in the rest of the region. Here are peaking factors applied to passenger trips to/from PDX, along with their basis:

TABLE 35. Peaking Factors for Trips to PDX
based on 2005 average weekday enplanements by hour


Enplane- ments	Peaking Factor	
6,152	0.322	AM4 Trips to PDX: Enplanements on Flights Departing 0600-0959
2,384	0.125	AM2 Trips to PDX: Enplanements on Flights Departing 0800-0959
1,110	0.058	MD1 (12-1) Trips to PDX: Enplanements on Flights Departing 1100-1159
1,759	0.092	MD1 (2-3) Trips to PDX: Enplanements on Flights Departing 1300-1359
3,407	0.178	PM4 Trips to PDX: Enplanements on Flights Departing 1600-1959
1,749	0.092	PM2 Trips to PDX: Enplanements on Flights Departing 1700-1859
640	0.034	PM1 Trips to PDX: Enplanements on Flights Departing 1800-1859
9,559	0.501	PKAD Trips to PDX: AM4 + PM4
9,530	0.499	OPAD Trips to PDX: AWD – PKAD
19,089		Total Average Weekday Enplanements

TABLE 36. Peaking Factors for Trips from PDX
based on 2005 average weekday deplanements by hour

Deplane- ments	Peaking Factor	
1,771	0.092	AM4 Trips from PDX: Deplanements on Flights Arriving 0500-0859
864	0.045	AM2 Trips from PDX: Deplanements on Flights Arriving 0600-0759
870	0.045	MD1 (12-1) Trips from PDX: Deplanements on Flights Arriving 1300-1359
1,007	0.052	MD1 (2-3) Trips from PDX: Deplanements on Flights Arriving 1500-1559
3,743	0.194	PM4 Trips from PDX: Deplanements on Flights Arriving 1500-1859
1,846	0.096	PM2 Trips from PDX: Deplanements on Flights Arriving 1500-1659
839	0.044	PM1 Trips from PDX: Deplanements on Flights Arriving 1600-1659
5,514	0.287	PKAD Trips from PDX: AM4 + PM4
13,729	0.713	OPAD Trips from PDX: AWD – PKAD
19,242		Total Average Weekday Deplanements

In the absence of vehicle occupancy data for auto trips to/from PDX, half of the resulting vehicle trips are assigned as SOV, while the other half are assigned as HOV.

Appendix A – Metro Model Forecasting Model Structure



Appendix B – 8-District Boundaries

