

November 17, 2009

Final report

MAKING THE GREATEST PLACE

Engagement strategies and community response

Final report

Appendix IV: Reserves comment log

November 17, 2009

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Date	Format	Name (last name first)	Organization	Topic	Geographic area	Comment summary
2	10/13/2009	Hearing	Erway, Tracel		??		No written comment (was noted that written testimony would be emailed).
3	10/15/2009	Letter	Cohen, Gerald	AARP of Oregon	affordable housing		
4	9/22/2009	Email	Nelson David K.		Bigger urban	S and E of City of Troutdale	U 2 letters of support, one from East Metro Economic Alliance, one from Portland Business Alliance. Both in support of 775 acre proposed urban reserve for Troutdale.
5	10/9/2009	Letter	Kidd R, Willey J., Bash B., Hatcher David, and Kinsky, John	Cities of Banks, Cornelius, Forest Grove, Hillsboro and North Plains	Bigger urban	Washington County	Need larger reserves for industrial growth. Need to accommodate mature high tech, emerging solicon solar and incubating bio-pharma industries. Need to give greater attention to large lot demand. Westside communities partner with Metro to consider industry cluster needs vs ledger type comparison of firms/industries.
6	10/15/2009	Hearing	Fish, Jeff		Bigger urban		Portland residents dislike increased density in neighborhoods.
7	10/15/2009	Hearing	McDonough, Sandra	Portland Business Alliance	Bigger urban		Protect options for future.
8	9/21/2009	Hearing	Roberts, Jeff		Bigger urban		Afraid w/out options we will cut off new ideas, businesses and lifestyles that will evolve over time.
9	10/15/2009	Hearing	Schlueter, Jonathan	Westside Economic Alliance	Bigger urban		Provide enough land and range of choices so as not to constrain choices of future residents. Reuse and redevelopment as focus draws some people but won't appeal to all.
10	10/15/2009	Hearing	Skaar, Tom		Bigger urban		Lack of neighborhood support for "aggressive infill" and risk of too little industrial land will be "catastrophic" in terms of affecting livability.
11	10/13/2009	Letter	Nielson Dave	Home Builders Assoc.	Bigger urban		How can Metro anticipate 1 million more people but plan only 5% more land for reserves? Metro should err on side of more urban reserves. Leave more land undesignated. Extensive studies in MGP recommendation yet only 2 weeks of consideration. Build in more flexibility.
12	10/15/2009	Letter	Truax Peter		Bigger urban	Forest Grove	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
13	9/22/2009	Email	Brewster, Ginny		Bigger urban reserves		Expressed frustration with Metro. Objects to land use restrictions. Need larger areas of land for planned developments and provide for industries.
14	10/10/2009	Letter	McDonough,Sandra	Portland Business Alliance	Bigger urban. Infrastructure funding		Comment period too short.Need more explicit plan for gaining citizen support of infrastructure funding. Vision too narrow. 50 year vision stuck in contemporary infrastructure funding issues. "Just in time"plan for industrial won't work. Larger urban reserves preserve options.
15	10/15/2009	Hearing	Peterkort Robert F.		Buffers		use natural features to determine UR RR and UGB boundaries; not property lines. Many examples in metro area where this created "orphaned" parcels, including in North Bethany.
16	10/5/2009	Email	Chesarek Carol L.		Buffers 2 letters		Need additional measures to minimize urban/rural conflicts (Multnomah has 300' buffers on either side of Abbey Creek, Tualatin Basin Goal 5 plan only requires 50').
17	9/30/2009	Hearing	Chesarek, Carol	Forest Park Neighborhood Assoc.	Buffers, Protect Natural Areas	North Bethany	Discussion of need for buffers and how they might be considered. Significant wildlife and natural features in the area that need to be protected. Need some kind of buffer to distance these resources from development
18	9/24/2009	Hearing	Rayhawk, Joe		Buffers, Protect Natural Areas		Has much to gain from urban designation as do other large landowners in area. Many of them are lobbying to be urban. He wants rural. Notes need for environmental protection.
19	10/13/2009	Hearing	Creecraft, Shelby		Develop inside UGB	Parrett Mtn	Connector highway affecting water resources. Expanding urban reserves is a sneaky way to get connector highway built outside UGB
20	9/16/2009	Email	Gregory, Michelle		Develop inside UGB		oppose urban expansion
21	9/16/2009	Email	Battan, Jim		develop inside UGB		Keep UGB as constrained as possible to encourage redevelopment and keep farms and forest lands wild.
22	9/21/2009	Email	Davis, Tim		Develop inside UGB		No increase in the UGB. In future will we look more like Vancouver BC or Pheonix? Do we want more places like Damascus? Will children have natural areas or more nature deficit disorder? Thanks for the work you do

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
23	9/15/2009	Email	Dibblee, Martha		Develop inside UGB		Develop high density inside, restore Beaverton, Wilsonville, Tigard and Gresham to farmland, eliminate cars downtown, annex all bedroom communities to PDX and make Metro city manager.
24	9/19/2009	Email	Dockham Kell		develop inside UGB		Use space we have more efficiently, don't expand and sprawl.
25	10/7/2009	Email	Hilbert Lynn		Develop inside UGB		Should encourage densification, through design, infrastructure, and investment. Need to protect our natural and productive resources for the future.
26	9/22/2009	Email	Klock, Clair		develop inside UGB		Thrilled with position in COO recommendation to focus inside UGB.
27	9/15/2009	Email	Kraft, Tom		develop inside UGB		Owns farmland but feels development should be focused inside UGB
28	9/20/2009	Email	McGrath, Teresa & Nat		Develop inside UGB		oppose urban
29	9/16/2009	Email	Rojas, Carlos		develop inside UGB		Keep UGB intact and use existing land and streets for population growth.
30	10/15/2009	Email	Smith, Jefferson		develop inside UGB		
31	9/21/2009	Email	Stonewall, Adam		Develop inside UGB		Plug for state land use laws. Maintain UGB. Support more density and smart growth.
32	10/8/2009	Email	Wood, Ray		develop inside UGB		
33	9/18/2009	Email	Yarnell, Richard		develop inside UGB		Protect farms, limit growth, build density in currently developed areas and force urban growth to be up, not out.
34	9/22/2009	Hearing	Aiona, Debbie		Develop inside UGB		Supports focus on development inside, density has many advantages. Encouraged by limited reserves designations. Leave until used all space inside UGB.
35	10/15/2009	Hearing	Amabisca, Cherry	Save Helvetia	Develop inside UGB		Inefficient use of land inside the current UGB indicates that COO report was correct in recommending utilization of land inside the UGB rather than larger urban reserves.
36	10/15/2009	Hearing	Hosey Faun		Develop inside UGB		GPAC member. Focus on maintaining unique livability. Jobs will come not because we have 200-acre shovel ready sites but because we have the Greatest Place.
37	10/15/2009	Letter	Adams, Sam	City of Portland	Develop inside UGB		Integration of city and regional investment strategy, develop and adopt performance targets.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
38	10/15/2009	Letter	Hoffman, Jack	City of Lake Oswego	Develop inside UGB		Invest in what we have, protect UGB to the maximum extent possible, adopt performance targets.
39	9/18/2009	Email	Cox, Bill		develop inside UGB (specific property)		Need goal of developing inside UGB. Would help with light industrial between Tualatin and Sherwood, in Wilsonville and in getting Damascus developed.
40	10/14/2009	Letter	Peters Linda		Develop inside UGB AND flawed process		Not enough public participation/representation in the Washington County process. Need to develop more efficiently inside the UGB, rather than developing rural lands, "our best and most versatile resource...they require our...protection."
41	10/13/2009	Email	Partlow Euphama		Develop inside UGB AND protect farms		Need to plan for our food supply. Doesn't want farmland in Washington County developed.
42	10/6/2009	Email	Peter Susan		Develop inside UGB AND protect farms		Opposed to urban growth boundary expansion. Need to protect valuable farmland Support for focusing growth around transit centers. Minimum acres for urban reserves with focus on completing and enhancing current communities. Stronger protection for floodplains and river corridors within existing communities. Support for rural reserves to conserve open space and ag industry. Encourage further dialogue. Unique communities strength of greatest place. Reach out to diverse communities
43	10/10/2009	Letter	Bartlett Phyllis		Develop inside UGB AND protect farms		
44	10/15/2009	Letter	Pratt Elizabeth	League of Women Voters	Develop inside UGB AND protect farms AND protect natural areas		
45	10/14/2009	Email	Potestio, Richard		develop inside UGB,		
46	10/14/2009	Letter	Robinson, Linda	Sullivans Gulch Corridor Trail Comm	Develop inside UGB, funding for train and multi-use connections		Focus development inside current boundary, smaller urban, protect farms
47	10/6/2009	Email	Peters, Susan		Develop inside UGB, protect farmland		Need to develop vacant land and at higher density rather than creating new, low-density additions to UGB.
48	10/15/2009	Hearing	Peter, Susan		Develop inside UGB, protect farmland		Need to develop vacant land and at higher density rather than creating new, low-density additions to UGB.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
49	9/19/2009	Email	Kozlowski, Ted		develop inside UGB, protect farms		
50	9/17/2009	Email	Kulley, Marlowe		develop inside UGB, protect farms		Commend decision to focus inside UGB. Although developers will criticize, know many are cheering.
51	9/17/2009	Email	Newman, Will		Develop inside UGB, protect farms		Need to limit our urban growth to be sustainable in the future. Protect farms for a future localized economy.
52	9/16/2009	Email	Toll, Peter		develop inside UGB, protect farms, Other		Recommendation hits nail on head. Residents value open space. No infracture for developers, more value in natural areas and livability.
53	10/15/2009	Email	Hamilton, Shirley		develop inside UGB, protect farms, protect natural areas	Sherwood	
54	9/18/2009	Email	Milner, Audrey		develop inside UGB, protect farms, protect natural areas		protect valuable farmland, reduce our carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant city centers
55	10/7/2009	Email	Morrison, Jeanette & Bruce		develop inside UGB, protect farms, protect natural areas		
56	9/18/2009	Email	Hildula, Leslie		Develop inside UGB, protect farms, specific area	Helvetia	Need to improve urban neighborhoods and build density, protect valuable farmland.
57	10/5/2009	Email	Roy Jeanne		Develop inside UGB, protect farms, specific area	Helvetia, Scholls Ferry &	Focus more on preserving farms. Importance of local, organic food and supporting growing farmers markets.
58	9/23/2009	Email	Micheletti, Dustin		Develop inside UGB, Protect natural areas		Rule against expansion of UGB. Use smart ideas to maximize potential inside. Protect wildlife habitat.
59	9/20/2009	Email	Boone, James		Develop inside UGB, protect natural areas,		protect valuable farmland, reduce polution, build efficient infrastructure and protect flora and fauna
60	9/24/2009	Email	Waltz, Heidi		develop inside UGB, smaller urban	Washington Co.	
61	10/15/2009	Hearing	Amabisca, Allen		Infrastructure		transportation infrastructure costs of urban reserves
62	9/24/2009	Hearing	Schouten, Dick	Washington County Board of Commissioners	Infrastructure funding	Beaverton	Spend \$ in Beaverton not outlying areas
63	9/22/2009	Hearing	Burris, April		Jobs housing balance		Balance econ growth across the region and include balance of growth with protection of natural areas

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
64	9/21/2009	Email	Nelson, Tom	City of Sherwood	More employment	Sherwood	Sherwood doesn't want to be the Pearl. It is a rural community. Needs employment base. Let us grow strategically, listen to us not assume Metro planners know what is best.
65	10/13/2009	Hearing	Bray, Tom		no comment attached		
66	10/13/2009	Hearing	Holloran, Eve		no comment attached		
67	10/13/2009	Hearing	Pollack, David		no comment attached		
68	10/13/2009	Hearing	Schlesser Jerry		no comment attached		
69	10/15/2009	Hearing	Tienson Thane		no comment attached		
70	10/15/2009	Hearing	Wellner, Matt		no comment attached		
71	9/30/2009	Letter	Chesarek, Carol		North Bethany, buffers,		Discussion of options for how to buffer urban and rural uses.
72	9/17/2009	Email	Brewster, Ginny		Other		Need local planning and fewer restrictions on private property. Metro should be planning homeless shelters and drug rehab not hikes and gardens.
73	9/16/2009	Email	Cavenaugh, Kevin		Other		Happy to help in any way. [This] region as Paul Hawken said in his speech this morning "the belly button of progressive ideas in this Union."
74	9/16/2009	Email	Frank, Lona Nelsen		Other		"...how refreshing it is to read some words of reason..."
75	9/24/2009	Hearing	Chesarek, Carol	Forest Park Neighborhood Assoc.	Other		Undesignated for this area raises concerns about the "value" of the land. If not designated rural, will be perceived as not valueable for protection.
76	9/24/2009	Hearing	Haag, Mark		Other		Environmental (toxic site in Wa. Co.)
77	10/13/2009	Hearing	Hayes, Anne		Other		Commented on growth issues, like currently congested roads, maximum capacity in schools, lack of funding for expansions.
78	9/24/2009	Hearing	Haag, Mark		Other		archeological (burial site Hillsboro)
79	10/14/2009	Hearing	Newland Bernie		Other - taxation		
80	10/13/2009	Hearing	Cummings, Teri		Process flawed		Process did not honor city's aspirations.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
81	10/13/2009	Hearing	Newman Will		Process flawed		Planning futile until we recognize growth is unsustainable. Reserves is scam which will not protect ag lands, only shift order of when converted to other uses.
82	10/13/2009	Hearing	Rosenfeld, Alan		Process flawed		Asking for follow-up on Public Input, related to citizen comment letters from 3/3 - 5/3/2009.
83	9/24/2009	Hearing	Rosenthal, Gerritt		Process flawed	Stafford, Helvetia	No real effort to address buffers, ignoring desires of unified rural neighborhood (Helvetia), no county effort to co-join areas such as Stafford in comprehensive manner, little effort to create protections for CSAs throughout region. Lengthy discussion of needed considerations.
84	9/24/2009	Hearing	Peters, Linda		Process flawed		Former commissioner and board chair challenges Wash Co. process as lacking public representation, only cities, little agriculture. No action taken by board on final recommendation.
85	9/24/2009	Hearing	Mortensen, Wendy		Process flawed Wash. Co.	N. of 26 rural	Supports COO recommend for N of 26 rural. Objects to city-driven process in Wash. Co. Was on Planning Commission in 80s when Hillsboro requested rezone of n. 26 near Cornelius pass for 100-acre corp. headquarters. Now broken into small parcels with vacant buildings.
86	10/14/2009	Hearing	Rosebrook, John		Property rights		Considers Rural Reserve designation to be an uncompensated taking.
87	10/14/2009	Letter	Van Dyke Sam and Lori		Property rights AND specific property urban	Cornelius/Forest Grove	Wants property included in urban reserves. Also mentions that Council Creek is not a good buffer, as it is little more than a "drainage ditch."
88	10/15/2009	Letter	Smith Joe		Protect farmland	see quote in column G	"One reality is that land really is finite. Another is that people must eat to survive, and the vast bulk of the food they eat requires farmland."
89	10/15/2009	Hearing	Steinfeld Joan K		Protect farmland	Sherwood	Lots of broad comments, but focus primarily on limiting growth and not developing farmland.
90	9/18/2009	email	Forkner, Bradly		Protect farmland		Protect farmland, reduce carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant city centers.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
91	9/18/2009	email	Gardner, Lara		protect farmland		protect valuable farmland, reduce our carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant city centers.
92	9/18/2009	email	Shawn, Susan		Protect farmland		protect valuable farmland, reduce our carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant city centers.
93	9/19/2009	email	Snyder, Stephanie		Protect farmland		Protect valuable farmland, reduce carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant cities.
94	9/23/2009	Map and referen	Graser-Lindsey		Protect farms	Beavercreek	Protect farms and rural community of Beavercreek
95	9/22/2009	Email	Villaume, Mary Lyn		Protect farms	Helvetia	Protect farms and agricultural land in general, but specifically mentions land north of Hwy 26 in Washington Co. for rural reserve designation.
96	10/15/2009	Hearing	Jacobs, Diane		Protect farms	Helvetia	Expresses the value of locally grown food, from taste to benefits to local residents. Recommends designating land north of Hwy 26 (Helvetia) as rural reserve.
97	10/15/2009	Hearing	Mauldin Marianne		Protect farms	Helvetia	Lived in NE PDX all of life. Watched Ken Burns National Parks series. We are being asked to make wise and selfless choice for future. Do not designate urban in Helvetia.
98	09/15/11 to 10/15/11 37 emails		Various		Protect farms	N of Highway 26	Form letters in support of designating land north of Hwy 26 rural reserve.
99	10/13/2009	Hearing	Newland Bernie		Protect farms	Pete's Mtn	Protect farmland; specifically mentions vineyards on Pete's Mtn. Also concerned about higher taxes to support new development and "making land speculators richer."
100	9/22/2009	Email	Gordon, Wendy		protect farms	Washington Co.	If we build over all our farmland, how are we going to eat? We can't eat silicon chips. Food does not spring from the supermarket shelves. Industries like Intel have no investment in communities. Protect Wash Co farmland
101	9/18/2009	Email	Arcana, Judith		protect farms		Protect farms for food growing.
102	9/22/2009	Email	Conroy, Jim		protect farms		Protect farms.
103	9/23/2009	Email	Gates, Pamela		protect farms		Develop more density inside the UGB.
104	9/19/2009	Email	Kreitner, Philio		protect farms		Maximize food production. World events will make single family dwellings obsolete.
105	10/4/2009	Email	Mazaud, Philippe		protect farms		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
106	9/26/2009	email	Pratt, Mallory		protect farms		Soil takes 100 years to develop. Once developed cannot be recovered. Focus development inside to protect farms for food growing. Protect valuable farmland, reduce our carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant city centers
107	9/18/2009	email	Steve Hanrahan		protect farms		
108	9/21/2009	Email	Stites, Bill		Protect farms		
109	9/15/2009	Email	Stout, Mel		protect farms		
110	9/15/2009	Email	Sutton, Barbara		protect farms		
111	10/15/2009	Email	Wallace Judith		Protect farms		
112	10/13/2009	Hearing	Graser-Lindsey, Elizabeth		Protect farms		Adequate ag land is not protected to feed future population increase of 1 million people. Reserves process should consider the region's foodshed, and hold a tight UGB. Financial resources should be used to protect the liveability of the area.
113	9/28/2009	Email	Bergan, John & Pat		protect farms	Troutdale, SE Wilson Rd	Keep rural
114	10/13/2009	Hearing	Klock Clair		Protect farms	Wash. County	Leave a legacy, don't let those motivated by money cash in region's future.
115	10/15/2009	Hearing	Reed, John		Protect farms and local food security in the future		Lots of discussion in Wash. Co. about jobs but little about how we will eat and where our food will come from. The world will change in 50 years. Need to maintain availability of local food.
116	10/14/2009	Letter	Klock, Clair and Beverly		protect farms AND small urban		Leave a legacy, don't let those motivated by money cash in region's future.
117	9/18/2009	Email	Heyne Klaus		protect farms, small urban reserves		
118	9/18/2009	Email	Burke, Elizabeth		protect farms, develop inside UGB		
119	9/21/2009	Email	Karnezis, Kristine Cordier		protect farms, develop inside UGB		protect valuable farmland, reduce our carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant city centers
120	9/18/2009	Email	Klingler, Lee		protect farms, develop inside UGB		
121	10/15/2009	Email	Reed, John		protect farms, develop inside UGB		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
122	9/22/2009	Email	Hanna, Kathleen		protect farms, develop inside UGB, smaller urban		Never written to elected official before. Grew up in eastern Oregon. Wash. Co. farmlands so suppor. Protect for future. Don't sacrifice to urban development.
123	9/24/2009	Email	Nielsen, Susan		protect farms, protect natural areas	Clackamas Co.	We should preserve the agricultural/sylvicultural economy in Clackamas Co. and develop more efficiently inside the UGB.
124	9/18/2009	Email	Seamons, Joe		protect farms, protect natural areas		protect valuable farmland, reduce our carbon emissions, build efficient infrastructure and support vibrant city centers
125	10/13/2009	Hearing	Lindsay, Barry		Protect farms, protect natural areas		We need farms for future food security as well as open space and forests. Communities should have resources to provide for themselves.
126	9/18/2009	Email	Bidwell, Michael Patrick		protect farms, protect natural areas, develop inside UGB		Working toward Masters in urban planning. Know there are underused areas in existing UGB. Protect farms, forests and watersheds.
127	9/23/2009	Email	Legry, John		Protect natural environment		Growth is not answer. Need to conserve resources, take larger view.
128	10/14/2009	Letter	LeFeber, Bob	Commercial Reality Advisors	Questions on MGP data assumptions		Hard to digest and comment in 1 month. Political rather than analytical. Councilors stated positions prior to staff analysis. Support 15-year agenda to focus in centers and cooridors. Urban reserves insurance policy against too tight UGB. Special needs reserves unrealistic in employers timeframe for making decisions. Math unclear on estimating future capacity. Go with city's aspirations. At least provide undesigndated areas for city growth.
129	10/15/2009	Hearing	Beinlich, Brian		smaller urban		"Be wary of current methods for estimating land needs for commercial and industrial areas." Should develop industrial and commercial areas more compactly, reducing the need for more urban reserve
130	10/15/2009	Hearing	Newcomb, Kathy		Smaller urban		Support existing farms by not expanding urban reserves. Washington County's urban reserve amount is too much.
131	10/14/2009	Letter	Blake, Aaron	(Reworks, Inc.)	smaller urban		Use caution with whole reserves idea, develop inside UGB

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
132	9/16/2009	Email	Aiello Dominic		Smaller urban reserves		reduce amt of land look for sustainable alternatives such as higher density
133	9/24/2009	Email	Vireday, Richard		Smaller urban, larger rural	Washington Co.	Need to protect farms and open space that add to our quality of life.
134	10/13/2009	Hearing	Smith, Merle		smaller urban	Sherwood	Should have more rural around Sherwood, specifically southern area.
135	10/14/2009	Email	Steinfeld, Joan		Specific arae, process, protect farms, smaller urban,	Sherwood	
136	9/24/2009	Hearing	Beck, Susan		Specific area	N. of 26	Keeping N. of 26 rural supports expansion of organic farms that feed metro populatation. Expands upon the qualities of Portland that makes it attractive. Urbanizing this area antithesis of PDX qualities.
137	10/15/2009	Hearing	Young, Charlie		Specific area	N. of 26	Wants rural. Cites 850 companies that moved to Oregon since 1970, 400 are left, new firms are service not manufacturing, semiconductor companies moved off short, company expansions halted.
138	9/15/2009	Hearing	Malinowski, Greg	Malinowski Farms	Specific area	W. Mult. Rural	"One of the market gardeners on our farm grossed between \$10,000 and \$12,000 per acre, per year, and provided fresh organic produce for over 120 families on just under 6 acres. That's 20 families per acre...There are lots of opportunities to provide food for 1000s of families."
139	10/13/2009	Hearing	Carson, Jeff		Specific area	Beavercreek	Concerned about impact of development on small farmers in Beavercreek. Wants to maintain rural character and protect farms.
140	10/14/2009	Hearing	Graser-Lindsey, Elizabeth		Specific area	Beavercreek	Designate Beavercreek rural; reference made to county-funded survey that indicated majority support in the area for rural reserve designation.
141	10/13/2009	Hearing	Lindsey, Jessica		Specific area	Beavercreek	Designate rural reserve
142	10/13/2009	Hearing	Rosebrook, John		Specific area	Beavercreek	Keep "undesigned" for Beavercreek area; doesn't want land locked up for 50yrs. Wants to have control over land use decisions.
143	10/13/2009	Hearing	Lindsey, James		Specific area	Beavercreek	Designate rural reserve

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
144	10/13/2009	Hearing	McMahon Jan		Specific area	Beavercreek	Small part time farming important for providing local food. Flock Fiber event drew 10,000 to Clack Co. Important to local economy, serves urban need.
145	10/15/2009	Hearing	LuxHaugen, Elizabeth		Specific area	Cooper Mtn	Designate UR-5 & UR-6 as rural reserve (photos attached).
146	10/15/2009	Hearing	Waffle, Dave City Manager	City of Cornelius	Specific area	Cornelius	Discussion of economic feasibility of urban reserves specifically around Cornelius. Maps attached
147	9/24/2009	Hearing	Irvine, Jim	The Conifer Group	Specific area	Damascus	Support inclusion of UR-4 into the urban reserve. Do not orphan certain lots by splitting tax lots between urban and rural. Include all as urban and constrain development where not desired.
148	10/15/2009	Hearing	O'Neil, John		Specific area	East of Wilsonville/South Stafford	Recommends area be designated urban reserve. Discussed housing choices for the area.
149	10/13/2009	Hearing	Messner, John		Specific area	Far West CPO - Pete's Mtn & East of Wilsonville	Far West CPO support for the Clackamas Co. Commissioners recommendations for the area east of Wilsonville. *Included map of CPO area.
150	9/15/2009	Email	Hoem, Shirley		Specific area	French Prairie	Very concerned that sale of Langdon Farms to Klamath Tribe for casino could undermine entire reserves process.
151	9/22/2009	Email	Piete, Tim		Specific area	Helvetia	My family of four buys vegetables, berries, fruit, eggs, beef, landscaping plants, pumpkins, and Christmas trees all year long from local farmers which provides our family with safe and fresh produce. It is great to be able to raise our children with a first hand knowledge of where food comes from. In many cases, our hands remove the produce from the plant or tree on which it grew.
152	9/24/2009	Hearing	Amibisca, Cherry	Save Helvetia	Specific area	Helvetia	Existing agricultural industry cluster should be protected from urbanization. Need long term certainty for farmers to be profitable.
153	9/24/2009	Hearing	Bailey, Patricia	Helvetia Community Association	Specific area	Helvetia	Binder of materials on Helvetia hydrology and geology

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
154	10/15/2009	Hearing	Gates, Spencer		Specific area	Helvetia	Has active farm operation that would be negatively impacted by urban development. Recommends rural reserve to guarantee long-term use, and to allow investment in continued operations.
155	10/15/2009	Hearing	Schoch, Casey		Specific area	Helvetia	" The success of the creamery would be dependent on a rural environment. We need to have our farms and the <i>surrounding</i> farms designated as rural reserves."
156	10/15/2009	Hearing	Sowers, Rebecca A.		Specific area	Helvetia	Cultural value of Helvetia should be preserved through rural reserve. Thriving Swiss heritage and culture would be negatively impacted by urban development infringing upon the unique "pastoral community "
157	10/15/2009	Hearing	Swerbilov, Dan		Specific area	Helvetia	Recommends area north of Hwy 26 be designated rural reserve. Cites high office vacancy rates as indicative of sufficient amount of urban land.
158	10/15/2009	Hearing	Wilson, Stuart		Specific area	Helvetia	Local, small farms in Helvetia should be protected. Benefits and advantages to larger region through locally produced sustainable agriculture.
159	10/10/2009	Letter	Amibisca, Cherry		Specific area	Helvetia	Binder titled Justification for Rural Reserve Designation. Includes information on buffers, agriculture, oaks, wildlife, history, culture and recreation.
160	10/15/2009	Hearing	Malinowski Greg	Malinowski Farms	Specific area	N of hwy 26	Same written testimony as 9-24
161	10/15/2009	Hearing	Bax, Catherine		Specific area	N of hwy 26 and Helvetia	Lived in NE PDX all life. Seen area change. Gresham example of poor planning and short term profit driving policy. Keep Helvetia area rural and protect farms. <u>Require more infill.</u>
162	9/21/2009	Hearing	Miller, Greg		Specific area	N. of 26 west of Rock Creek urban	Area essential to keeping Hillsboro econ engine of state. Small rural group fighting expansion has too much say. Why not comply with city/county well <u>thought out requests?</u>
163	10/15/2009	Hearing	Rayhawk, Joe		Specific area	North Bethany, Helvetia	Due to wildlife corridors, floodplains, and farm/agricultural land, areas UR-1, UR-2 and all but the southeast portion of UR-B should be designated rural reserve.
164	10/14/2009	Letter	Amabisca, Allen		Specific area	North of 26	Rural

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
165	9/16/2009	Email	Moran Judith		Specific area	North of 26 Wash and Mult R	Need "good clean food grown close to Portland." Preserve farmland for local good and to educate youth.
166	10/15/2009	Hearing	Emerson, Jim	Forest Park Neighborhood Assoc.	Specific area	NW Mult Co	Reserves process connects present with future. Makes no sense to try to develop steep hillsides, or on farmland when global food shortages predicted or out when trends point to compact community living. Forest Park area should remain rural.
167	10/15/2009	Hearing	Nelson, Ted		Specific area	NW Mult County	Do not leave UR-1 undesignated. Serves as buffer between Forest Park and dense Wash Co development. Support existing farms. Concerned that more development will overtax existing road system. Shouldn't base decision on desires of few who want to make money.
168	9/23/2009	Letter	Emerson, Jim	Forest Park Neighborhood Assoc.	Specific area	NW Mult. Co	Roads/Traffic, agriculture, stormwater
169	10/13/2009	Hearing	Langdon, Richard		Specific area	Oregon City, Maple Lane	Area requested by OC should be included in urban reserve.
170	10/13/2009	Email	Casebeer, Terry & Peggy		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Include in rural reserves
171	10/13/2009	Email	McElhinney Gregg		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Keep rural, protect natural features
172	10/13/2009	Hearing	Bowerman, Don		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Supports undesignated for Pete's Mtn. Due to soils and topography, not suitable for farming.
173	10/13/2009	Hearing	Kupillas, Malia R.		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Aquifer can support additional development; illegal use is occurring, and should be limited first if water level shows signs of decline. *Testimony includes chart and several maps.
174	10/13/2009	Hearing	O'Brien, Ryan		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Planning consultant; recommending urban or undesignated for Pete's Mtn (specifically all land and west of Willamette River and east of Wilsonville). Submitted concept plan analysis of the area for future urbanization.
175	10/13/2009	Hearing	Prueitt, Steve		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Rocky soils and lack of irrigation make agricultural use difficult or impossible. Would like to have children build on property.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
176	10/13/2009	Hearing	Root Gordon		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Pete's Mtn does not meet Rural Reserve Factors; many large parcel owners support "undesignated" to maintain "Rural Transitional" character of the area. Claims farming is not viable, and that existing agriculture is predominantly "hobby farm" type uses, so rural reserve is not appropriate. b *Several maps attached in support of testimony.
177		Letter	Newland, Elaine		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Pete's mtn as rural reserve. Fought parcelization. If left undesignated will be split up by land speculators. Has fought to preserve unique qualities of area.
178	10/11/2009	Email	Chapman Richard		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	S of Schaeffer R and N or Schaeffer undesignated
179	10/15/2009	Hearing	Prueitt, Steve		Specific area	Pete's Mtn	Disputes testimony that Pete's Mtn is good for commercial farming. Poor rocky soils. Wants children/grandchildren to live nearby. Does not want rural reserve designation.
180	10/15/2009	Email	Newland Elaine		Specific area	Pete's Mtn.	Keep rural
181	10/12/2009	Email	Pollack David and Kay		Specific area	Pete's Mtn.	Support Clackamas County Commissioners recommendation not to designate any part of Pete's Mtn (physical feature) as Urban Reserve.
182	9/23/2009	Email	Reynolds, Betty		Specific area	Pete's Mtn.	Leave undesignated. No known agriculture in area. If aquifer declining provide opportunity for future urban water source.
183	10/8/2009	Letter	Krawczuk Dana		Specific area	S of hwy 212 to hwy 26	Wants urban or undesignated
184	10/14/2009	Letter	Feves Michael		Specific area	S of Sherwood	NOT urban
185	10/11/2009	Letter	Duyck Edmund		specific area	S of TV Hwy R	Area north of TV Hwy, south of Dairy Creek should be urban, not area south of TV Hwy, which could be rural.
186	9/17/2009	Email	Wallauer, Martha & Robert		Specific area	S. Forsythe Road	Support wisdom of UGB. Keep area rural, protect farms, and develop within the current UGB. S. Forsythe Road is not suitable for development, and cannot accommodate more traffic.
187	10/15/2009	Hearing	Mastersen, Laura		Specific area	Sandy River/East Multnomah	Prime soils, agricultural land. Need to protect thorough rural reserve designation.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
188	10/20/2009	Letter	Mays, Keith (Mayor of Sherwood)	City of Sherwood	Specific area	Sherwood	Detailed summary of the City's aspirations and rationale behind surrounding proposed urban reserves. In support of designating all current proposed urban areas as urban reserves.
189	10/12/2009	Email	Goldhammer Gregg		Specific area	South of Beaverton Tile Flat Rd R	Support rural designation for Tile Flat Rd area.
190	10/15/2009	Hearing	Beikman, Monique		Specific area	South of Sherwood	Designate area UR-9 as urban reserve, along with area currently identified as rural reserve to the east of UR-9. This is in support of transportation improvements for the area.
191	10/15/2009	Hearing	Stricker, Michael		Specific area	South of Sherwood	Unique value of this land (UR-9) as an AVA (American Viticultural Area) deserves protecting as a rural reserve. Cites contribution of viticulture and wineries to Oregon economy.
192	10/11/2009	Email	Goldfield Susan		Specific area	Springfield Rd	Does not want area included in urban reserve
193	9/22/2009	Email	Pierce Midge		Specific area	Stafford	Wants rural designation for Borland Road area of the Stafford triangle.
194	10/13/2009	Hearing	Minor, Jay		Specific area	Stafford	Recommends "undesigned" for Stafford area north of the Tualatin River. Included the Stafford Hamlet "Values & Vision" statement in support of testimony.
195	10/15/2009	Hearing	Minor, Jay		Specific area	Stafford	Recommends undesigned for Stafford. Preserve as regional asset. Process is different this time. Attached values and vision.
196	10/16/2009	Hearing	Newcomb, Kathy		Specific area	Stafford	Impacts of climate change might shift wine-growing country north from California to Oregon. Hillsides in the Stafford area might be highly suitable in the future for vineyards.
197	10/13/2009	Hearing	Quimby, Sally		Specific area	Stafford	Area does not fit requirements for either urban or rural factors. Supports County recommendation of "undesigned."
198	10/13/2009	Hearing	Read, Larry		Specific area	Stafford	Recommends "undesigned" for Stafford area north of the Tualatin River. Maintain continuity of rural/wildlife areas from Pete's Mtn up to Stafford; designate Borland south of I-205 and Tualatin Loop as rural to protect wildlife and prevent creation of isolated island of rural in the Stafford area.
199	10/15/2009	Hearing	Adams, Dave		Specific area	Stafford	Stafford does not fit urban or rural categories. Hamlet vision took 2 years and has 87% public by in. Leave undesigned.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
200	10/15/2009	Letter	Hinkle Scott		Specific area	Stafford	N of Tualatin R or Undesignated. Are can host coming green evolution, producing local food; Let hamlet make choice.
201	10/14/2009	Hearing	Cummings, Teri	City of West Linn	Specific area	Stafford, Pete's Mtn	Maintain rural character of Stafford & Pete's Mtn
202	9/28/2009	Email	Tucker, Deniece & Tom		Specific area	Troutdale	Keep SE Wilson Road rural, protect farms.
203	10/15/2009	Hearing	Telford Mary		Specific area	UR-1 R	Some ideas proved to be great ideas. National parks as example. UGB another. Keep UR-1 as rural reserve.
204	10/15/2009	Hearing	Burger, Dale		Specific area	West Multnomah County	Recommends designated land between Forest Park and Washington County as urban reserve. Promoting Great Communities here would reduce traffic demand between Multnomah County and new economic development occuring in northeaster Washington County
205	10/15/2009	Hearing	Chesarek, Carol	Forest Park Neighborhood Assoc.	Specific area	West Multnomah County	Designate all area between Forest Park and Washington County as rural reserve. Area is unsuitable for urban development, and needs to be protected for farming and wildilfe. Current infrastructure (primarily roads) will not support added impact, and are not suitable for expansion.
206	10/12/2009	Email	Kurahara, Jon		Specific area	West Sherwood	Designate UR-7 as urban; proximity to services and favorable topography.
207	10/15/2009	Hearing	Manthey-Waldo, Lori		Specific area		Cedar Creek Community planning effort to create a new city north of Hwy 26 (north of Beaverton, northeast of Hillsboro, essentially Helvetia). Request urban reserve(?) to support that effort.
208	10/12/2009	Email	Feucht, Richard		specific area	Clackanomah area	urban reserve to enable bike, pedestrian development and traffic control
209	9/24/2009	Hearing	LuxHaugen, Elizabeth		Specific area	Cooper Mt.	Keep area west of Clark Hill Rod rural. Prime farmland, productive. UGB designed to protect this kind of land. Urban reserves new form of condemnation of rural land. Appreciate Mr. Jordan's courage and leadership. Need someone like him to protect farms

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
210	10/15/2009	Hearing	Jacobsen, Melissa		Specific area	Cornelius north	Wants rural.Represents neighborhood north of Council Creek, east of Susbauerer road in Cornelius. Creek provides natural barrier. Road can't handle volume of traffic. Prime farmland shouldn't be converted to industrial.
211	10/15/2009	Hearing	Bash, Bill		Specific area	Cornelius urban	Quotes from acreage owners saying they prefer urban reserve designation over rural
212	9/24/2009	Hearing	Orozco, Jose		Specific area	Cornelius urban	On Cornelius planning commission.Please let Cornelius have land to fill in and develop like big brother Hillsboro.
213	9/21/2009	Hearing	Wellner, Matt		Specific area	East Bethany	Wants urban. Logical area next to N. Bethany. Existing sewer/water. Saltzman Road extension great benefit for current and future.
214	10/1/2009	Hearing	Anderson, Patricia		Specific area	East/West of Sandy River R	Served on Mult. CAC. Supports recommendations. Sandy R area should be rural.
215	9/24/2009	Hearing	Schamp, Kris		Specific area	Helvetia	Cyclist wants to retain recreational opportunities in area. Against urban
216	9/24/2009	Hearing	Amibisca, Allen		Specific area	Helvetia	Wants rural. Provided Oregon white oak study.
217	9/24/2009	Hearing	Becker, Anna		Specific area	Helvetia	Wants rural. Cites Indian artifacts, historical area old logging trail to Columbia used as trade route for Indians. Oregon white oaks, high water table.
218	9/24/2009	Hearing	Furrow, Matt		Specific area	Helvetia	Wants rural. Owns Furrow Farm on West Union. 3rd generation. Sell Xmas trees, hazelnuts and cattle. Farm full time year round, sole source of income. Important hazelnut growing area.
219	9/24/2009	Hearing	Stadelman, Ryan		Specific area	Helvetia	Farmer in area. Wants rural.
220	9/24/2009	Letter	Keith, Catherine (Valley Vista Farm)		Specific area	Helvetia	(rural reserve), protect farms - equine industry
221	9/23/2009	Letter	Mecklem Greg		Specific area	Helvetia	Desires rural reserve
222	9/24/2009	Hearing	Kasper, Steven		Specific area	Helvetia	Wants rural. Important bike riding area.
223	9/24/2009	Hearing	Radtke, Steve and Mishelle	Abundant Harvest CSA and Save Helvetia	Specific area	Helvetia and N. of 26 rural	Owner of Abundant Harvest CSA. Protect close in farmland north of 26.
224	9/24/2009	Hearing	Bailey, Robert	Save Helvetia	Specific area	Helvetia rural	Binder of materials supporting rural status for Helvetia. Information includes comments on public involvement, soils, cultural history and Hillsboros aspirations for the area.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
225	9/24/2009	Hearing	Jacobs, Lyn		Specific area	Helvetia, N of 26	Wants rural. Important food production area. Owner of La Finquita del Buho CSA. Farming not fad, wave of future. Feed 80 families/300 people. Wash county placed too much significance on irrigation.
226	10/15/2009	Hearing	Nelson, Mollie		Specific area	Lower Springville Rd and West Hills	Rural reserve for area UR-1 and all of Area 7. Preserve natural resources, wildlife habitat, family farms, rural recreation. Provide certainty for rural landowners.
227	10/14/2009	Letter	Hosey Faun		Specific area	N of 26 Rural	
228	9/24/2009	Hearing	Keith, Catherine		Specific area	N. of 26	Supports COO recommendation to keep area rural. Owns stable notes thriving equine industry
229	9/24/2009	Hearing	Jacobs, Steve		Specific area	N. of 26 rural	Wants rural. Came from LA. Belongs to CSA. Area not full of hobby farms as council suggests but people making living off land.
230	9/24/2009	Hearing	Pruit, Mary		Specific area	N. of 26 rural	North of 26 critical for wildlife particularly elk. Wants rural reserve
231	9/21/2009	Hearing	Perrin, Carolyn		Specific area	north of Hillsboro	Wants urban. Supports COO recommendation for area bordered by UGB, n of Hillsboro, McKay Creek and Hwy 26. Farms heavily impacted by urban pressures. Suitable for future job growth.
232	9/21/2009	Hearing	Keith, John R0		Specific area	S. Hillsboro	This person provided 19 color photos of commercial properties for sale in Wa Co to illustrate that there is no need to expand onto farmland
233	9/21/2009	Hearing	Wellner, Matt		Specific area	south Stafford/E. Wilsonville	PAC area U-3 is ideal urban reserve because of access to transportation, local governance, no foundation farmland, clear edges, great employment opportunities on large parcels, rates m to h on all factors
234	10/15/2009	Hearing	Adams, Dave		Specific area	Stafford	Notes 1993 MOU demonstrate that the residents of Stafford, L.O., W.L., Tualatin, and Clack. County share vision and desire to keep Northern Stafford's rural.
235	10/13/2009	Hearing	Pierce Midge		Specific area	Stafford	Keep Stafford rural while protecting existing neighborhoods from threat of growth. Protect historic West Linn from traffic. Map attached.
236	9/26/2009	Letter	Read Larry		Specific area	Stafford	Area should remain undesignated and should develop inside UGB before expanding

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
237	10/1/2009	Hearing	Kight, Jim Mayor		Specific area	Troutdale	Wants 700+ acres east of Troutdale designated urban
238	10/13/2009	Hearing	Weatherby Mike		Specific area	Troutdale	City of Fairview resolution in support of adding 775 acres of urban reserve adjacent to Troutdale
239	10/15/2009	Letter	Kurahara Jon		Specific area	UR7 West Sherwood	Wants urban designation
240	10/14/2009	Letter	Striker, Mike and Tanya		Specific area	UR-9	Rural or undesignated
241	9/24/2009	Hearing	Malinowski, Greg		Specific area	W. Multnomah	Small organic farms can be profitable. Need long term rural designation for farmers to have access to ag land at affordable purchase or lease rates. Close in farming serves urban market. Important wildlife area.
242	10/15/2009	Hearing	Grossnickle, Jerry and Bruce Wakefield		Specific area	W. Multnomah, West hills	Links Micheal Jordan's remarks subsequent to release of COO recommendation to open large rural landscapes west of Forest Park to urbanization. Need to protect wildlife habitat. Question use of undesignated as risk management tool. Will promote lands speculation.
243	10/15/2009	Hearing	Knapp, Tim		Specific area	Wilsonville	Use existing RSIA rather than expanding to new areas
244	9/23/2009	Letter	Amabisca, Cherry		Specific area	Helvetia	Protect Natural Areas
245	10/15/2009	Hearing	Lashbrook, Stephan		Specific area	Wilsonville	Support specific areas east urban, oppose large areas of Sherwood because of natural features.
246	10/7/2009	Email	Dart Will		Specific area R, protect farms	W Multnomah County	Maintain long-term benefit of the environment, protect farms, and develop inside the UGB.
247	10/14/2009	Email	Goeth, Frederick		Specific area ,	Pete's Mountain	protect farms, protect natural areas
248	10/15/2009	Email	Cahan, Linda		Specific area , protect farms, protect natural areas	Pete's Mountain	
249	10/13/2009	Letter	Hoffman Jack	City of Lake Oswego	Specific area and small urban	Stafford	Small urban reserves. Rural or undesignated for Stafford
250	9/18/2009	Email	Hedges, David		Specific area, develop inside UGB	Stafford	Designate Stafford as rural reserve, use land inside UGB first, before expanding outside.
251	9/23/2009	Email	Pollack David and Kay		Specific area, process flawed	Pete's Mtn.	Object to process and content of COO report, also want undesignated for Pete's Mtn. consistent with the Clackamas Co. Board of County Commissioners.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
252	10/15/2009	Hearing	Gamble, Donna		Specific area, Protect farms	Helvetia	Local CSA food source important. Sustainable practices, community. Need to maintain opportunity into future.
253	10/15/2009	Hearing	Mecklem, Greg		Specific area, protect farms	Helvetia	"Failure to designate 'close-in' prime lands as Rural Reserves even though they meet all the criteria while 'protecting' lesser lands not threatened by development does not meet the intent of Senate Bill 1011." Designate the Helvetia area as rural reserve, along with land south of Hwy 26, and north of Waible Creek
254	9/24/2009	Hearing/Email	Just, James		Specific area, protect farms	Helvetia	Preserve farmland, especially that north of Hwy 26 in Washington Co. (Helvetia).
255	10/14/2009	Email	Mulvaney, Lisa		Specific area, protect farms, protect natural areas	Pete's Mtn	
256	10/12/2009	Email	Renner, Randell		Specific area, protect farms, protect natural areas	Pete's Mtn	
257	10/13/2009	Hearing	Messner Judy		Specific areas	Pete's Mtn./Peach cove (south portion of Stafford area)	Support for Clack Co Comm recommendation for Pete's Mtn. Maps and other information in support of.
258	10/13/2009	Hearing	Knapp Tim, Mayor of City of Wilsonville		Specific areas	Wilsonville	Comments on linkages between areas of MGP. Protect I-5 as backbone of region, protect industrial lands.
259	10/15/2009	Hearing	Irvine James R.	The Conifer Group	Specific areas, protect natural areas	UR-1, UR-B, UR-2 E of Cornelius Pass Rd and east of UR-2 to Springville Rd, and UR-4	Supports inclusion of specific areas as urban reserves. Also proposes inclusion of ecologically sensitive lands in urban reserves and using fees/funds from urbanization to preserve sensitive landscapes as greenspaces. Attached West Forest Park Concept Plan as example.
260	9/21/2009	Hearing	VanderZanden, Thomas attorney		Specific properties	Forest Grove south edge	Wants property included in urban reserve
261	9/21/2009	Hearing	VanderZanden, Thomas attorney		Specific properties	N Highway 26	Wants property included in urban reserve.
262	9/21/2009	Hearing	VanderZanden, Thomas attorney		Specific properties	north Hillsboro urban	Wants urban. Represents several farmers in area.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
263	10/15/2009	Hearing	Stephens Ric	Stephens Planning and Design, LLC	Specific properties	South Cooper Mtn	Represents South Cooper Mtn Landowners Association. Want urban reserve designation. Map attached. Include enough for planning--all four corners of intersection for cohesive design. Do not use streets as boundaries. Coordinate exact boundary with Beaverton
264	10/15/2009	Hearing	VanderZanden, Thomas attorney		Specific properties	W. Mult./Cornelius Pass	Wants property included in urban reserve.
265	9/22/2009	Email	Brekken, Laurie		specific property	2910 and 2930 NW Mult	Include in urban reserve/UGB. Out of sync with surrounding uses. Should be inside UGB.
266	9/24/2009	Hearing	Gentemann, Gary		Specific property	N. Hillsboro urban	Wants property on NW Meek Rd and SW 325th Hillsboro in UR
267	10/8/2009	Hearing	MacLaren, Carrie Black Helterline		Specific property	Sherwood	Represent Meisel Rock Products. Challenging county recommendations for rural. Town Quarry property crosses county line.
268	10/15/2009	Hearing	Szambelan Julie		Specific property	Did not stipulate	Wants undesignated (address is West Linn)
269	10/13/2009	Hearing	Carneiro, Joan and Ken		Specific property	Oregon City	Want to build on their specific property 15216 S Springwater, Oregon City Filed Measure 37 claim, and applied for 3 lots under Measure 49.
270	10/14/2009	Letter	Grudier, Kiyoko		Specific property	Oregon City	request to put property in urban reserve - 18878 S. Nancy Marie Lane, Oregon City
271	10/13/2009	Hearing	Giddings, Colin		Specific property	Pete's Mtn	Supports undesignated for Pete's Mtn due to poor farming conditions? Rocky, think soil. Only one cutting of hay.
272	10/13/2009	Hearing	Giddings, Melinda		Specific property	Pete's Mtn	Want undesignated; horse boarding/stable/hay not sustainable.
273	10/15/2009	Hearing	Baker, Sandy		Specific property	W. Mult	Wants Property at Kaiser and Germantown included in urban reserve. Cites property rights. Attached map.
274	10/15/2009	Hearing	Manka, Fedor		Specific property		Wants property designated Urban
275	10/15/2009	Hearing	Greenfield, Mark (attorney)		Specific property	Helvetia	Wants urban. Challenge COO recommendation for area n of 26 at Helvetia Rd adjacent to Helvetia Industrial Area and Shute rd. Walks through factors to address urban potential.
276	10/15/2009	Letter	Alderton, Donald L.		Specific property	Hillsboro	Wants urban or undesignated for property at Meek and Sewell roads, Hillsboro
277	10/9/2009	Letter	Carneiro, Joan & Ken		specific property	Oregon City	Allow build on 15216 S Springwater Road, Oregon City
278	10/13/2009	Letter	Grudier Kiyoko		Specific property	Oregon City	Wants urban designation 18878 S. Nancy Marie Lane, Oregon City

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
279	10/15/2009	Hearing	Palenik Karen		Specific property	TV Hwy between Hillsboro/ Cornelius	Co-own 63 acres. Access on TV Hwy. No water rights. Recommended by Cornelius. Aerial attached.
280	9/24/2009	Hearing	Baker, Sandra		Specific property	W. Mult.	Wants property at Kaiser and Germantown designated urban
281	10/8/2009	Email	Barker Steven		Specific property		Urban
282	9/30/2009	Letter	Greenfield Mark		Specific property		Wants urban designation
283	10/8/2009	Letter	Peterkort Robert F.		Specific property		Wants urban designation
284	10/13/2009	Letter	Ogden Lou	City of Tualatin	Specific areas	Stafford and Pete's Mtn	Based on staff analysis these two areas do not meet factors for urban reserves. Infrastructure provision inefficient, doesn't fit with local aspirations, variety of housing types not compatible with employment cluster, cannot confirm protection of Tualatin River resources Undesignated land around North Plains would encourage development pressure on that city as a commuter hub, and encourage sprawl. Should be rural reserve. Does not want area included in urban reserve Farmer's wife. Urbanization and farming don't mix. Supports COO recommendation. Designate area north of Hwy 26 rural reserve.
285	10/8/2009	Email	Hammon, Virginia		stop growth, plan sustainability		
286	9/24/2009	Hearing	Mecklem, Greg		Undesignated as overflow		
287	10/13/2009	Email	Goldfield Susan		UR-1 NOT urban		
288	10/15/2009	Hearing	Gates, Pam			N of hwy 26	
289	9/18/2009	email	Knott, Elsbeth				
290	9/18/2009	email	Larco, Dorothy				protect valuable farmland
291	9/24/2009	Hearing	Bookin, Beverly				No written comment
292	10/15/2009	Hearing	LeFeber, Bob	Commercial Association of Realtors			Attached same letter as previously received.
293	10/13/2009	Hearing	Minor, Jay				
294	10/13/2009	Hearing	Newland Elaine				no comment attached
295	10/15/2009	Letter	Johnson, Ellen	Housing Land Advocates			Link affordable housing, landuse & transportation; MGP failure to acknowledge great concentrations of lower income households;
296	10/15/2009	Letter	Stephens, Charlie	Clackamas Co Urban Green			Extensive comment on all areas of MGP
297	10/15/2009	Letter	Wyatt, Bill	Port of Portland			Comments on data, Industrial land supply w/in UGB, Employment Land Forecast Assumptions, Urban Reserves for Future Growth