

November 17, 2009

Final report

MAKING THE GREATEST PLACE

Engagement strategies and community response

Final report

Appendix II: RTP comment log

November 17, 2009

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Turlay, Stephanie	Resident, Vancouver WA	Bike systems and light rail	Opposes more bike facilities and light rail.
Comment	Korn, Nellie	Resident	Columbia River Crossing	Reduce scope of bridge; replace railroad bridge instead. Future fuel shortages will reduce demand.
Comment	Nasset, Sharon	Resident	Columbia River Crossing	Provided map of an alternative route.
Comment	Osborn, David	Stop the CRC Coalition	Columbia River Crossing	Opposes inclusion of the CRC in the RTP as it would encourage sprawl and hurt community livability.
Email	Gelhar, Jim	TransPort subcommittee	Corrections	Corrects errors in the Transportation System Management and Operations plan.
Comment	Rulla, Carol	Kelly Creek Neighborhood Association; Coalition of Gresham Neighborhood Associations	Corridor refinement study	Support transportation corridor refinement study in East County
Comment	Corcoran, Jeff	Gresham Transportation Committee	Corridors, MAX, bikeways	Powell--regional priority; connect US26 with I-84; build westside route. MAX: build faster, nor more; Bikeways: fix missing links.
Comment	Demaster, Jean	Human Solutions	Equity in transit service	Low-income people need better transit, especially north-south connections.
Letter	Back, Andy	Washington Co.	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Letter	Healy, Phil	Port of Portland	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Letter		City of Beaverton	Extensive technical comments	Included in response log associated with legislative package.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Letter		City of Gresham	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Email		City of Hillsboro	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Email		City of Milwaukie	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Comment		City of Portland, Bureau of Transportation	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Comment		City of Sherwood	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Email		City of Tigard	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Comment		City of Tualatin	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Comment		City of Wilsonville	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Email		Clackamas County	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Letter		Regional Freight and Goods Movement Task Force	Extensive technical comments	Full text included in formal comment report. Staff response in response log associated with legislative package.
Email	Drew, John	Freight task force	Freight plan	Administrative changes
Email	Gilmour, Campbell	Freight task force	Freight plan	Administrative changes

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Comment	Lehman, Peter	Sun Microsystems	Freight plan	Supports goals of the freight plan; urges expansion of freight network to bring new jobs to the area; develop international capability for large air freight.
Comment	Chanter, Trey	Mercedes-Benz of Wilsonville	General	Draft RTP should be adapted.
Comment	Durtschi, Kay	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	General	Urges considering needs of seniors in planning transportation--senior don't bike, but walk and drive. Bus system in inadequate, and pedestrian facilities need improvement. Improve safety of Barbur Blvd.
Comment	Johnson, Ellen	Housing Land Advocates	General	Support affordable housing and link to transportation.
Comment	Karlock, Jim	Resident	General	Opposes transit as slow and not energy-efficient.
Email	Moore, Zephyr	Resident	General	Suggests tax on car dealers who use license plate holders to advertise, as the plate holders add weight to cars and wear to roads.
Comment	Parker, Terry	Resident	General	Opposes streetcars as expensive and outdated. Supports electric trolley bus. Requests bicycle license fees and higher transit fares.
Letter	McFarlane, Neil S.	TriMet	HCT expansion policies	The system expansion policy needs better definition.
Email	Morton, Susan	Resident	HCT priorities	Opposes MAX as boondoggle; opposes expensive streetcars; opposes expensive improvements on Denver in Kenton neighborhood.
Email	Swaren, Ron	Resident	HCT priorities	No MAX on Barbur--too expensive; use buses.
Comment	Truttman, Margeurite	Resident	HCT priorities	Adamantly opposes HCT on Powell, as it would encourage crime and low-income housing. Encrease bus service. Questions public process for selecting HCT projects.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Knight, David	Resident of Vancouver, WA	Light rail	Objects to plans to extend light rail to Vancouver, Washington; suggests a third bridge and commuter rail from Ridgefield to Portland.
Email	Spohn, Hal	Resident of Vancouver, WA	Light rail	Objects to plans to extend light rail to Vancouver, Washington. Fix existing infrastructure first.
Email	Ross, R.	Resident of Vancouver, WA	Metro transportation policies	Opposes extension of Metro's policies to Vancouver, especially I-5 bridge, tolls, and light rail.
Comment	Burger, Dale	Resident	Misc.	Metro should provide adequate transportation corridors between Washington and Multnomah counties if the area west of Forest Park and east of Washington County is designated rural reserve.
Email	Brewster, Ginny	Resident	Mt. Hood Freeway	Suggests building the Mt. Hood Freeway
Email	Swanson-Davies, Linda	Resident	Pedestrian projects	Need sidewalks in outlying areas, such as Mapleton.
Letter	Bricker, Scott	Bicycle Transportation Alliance	Performance measures	Suggests abandoning roadway mobility standards and develop a new index. Measure reliability instead of congestion.
Letter	McFarlane, Neil S.	TriMet	Performance measures	Urges commitment to specific performance measures, and measured tied to outcomes.
Email	Lashbrook, Stephan	City of Wilsonville	RTP policies	Would like to see more emphasis on transit connections from Clackamas County to Washington County. Would like to have an open process for ranking projects against RTP goals.
Email	Dibblee, Martha	Resident	RTP policies	Eliminate cars in downtown Portland.
Email	Garfinkle, Dr. Richard	Resident	RTP policies	Supports bicycle transportation.
Email	Hamilton, Shirley	Resident	RTP policies	Opposes connector roads through the countryside.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Pearce, Susan	Resident	RTP policies	Maintain existing roadways; connect within existing UGB; concentrate on transit and bike-ped routes.
Email	Reynolds, Charles	Resident	RTP policies	Supports alternatives to auto travel--transit, biking, walking.
Email	Robinson, Linda	Sullivan's Gulch Trail Committee	RTP policies	Implement integrated mobility strategy; identify funding for off-road bike paths.
Email	Stout, Mel	Resident	RTP policies	Supports making the most of what we have while supporting the economy.
Comment	Gamba, Mark	Resident	RTP policy	Encourage alternatives to automobile travel to reduce carbon output; encourage electrified mass transit and bike transportation.
Comment	Newman, Will	Resident	RTP policy	Limit transportation system expansion to limit population growth; maintain what we have, and encourage non-motorized transportation.
Email	Schouten, Dick	Washington Co.	RTP policy	Suggests adding a section devoted to the discussion of energy uncertainty and peak oil to Chapter 1.
Email	Seamons, Joe	Resident	RTP policy	Ban cars in Portland by 2100; travel by train, bike and foot. Tear up pavement and restore to green.
Letter	Selinger, Phil	Willamette Pedestrian Coalition	RTP policy	Supports Metro's approach that integrates pedestrian needs into the overall mobility strategy. Suggests that bike and pedestrian needs not always aligned. Offers specific improvement for consideration.
Email	Swaren, Ron	Resident	RTP priorities	Too much money spent on unnecessary paving

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Comment	Gross, Mara	Coalition for a Liveable Future	RTP process	Institute a system for removing outdated projects from the project list; ensure projects meet current RTP goals. CLF provided a detailed analysis of the RTP in a 19-page brochure.
Letter	Ogden, Lou	City of Tualatin	RTP process	Prefers that Metro delay final decision to allow more time to make good choices.
Letter	Underriner, Dave	Providence Health&Services	Safety	Strongly supports transit, bike and pedestrian systems, but is concerned about ensuring that private as well as emergency vehicles be able to quickly access emergency entrances.
Comment	Wellner, Matt	Resident	Saltzman Rd Ext.	Supports extension for future urbanization and connectivity
Letter	Houck, Mike	Urban Greenspaces Institute	Strategies for the future	Supports focusing inside the existing UGB; focusing on existing assets; increasing options for travel; addressing climate change.
Email	Aschenbrenner, David	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Berliner, Steve	Friends of Kellogg and Mt. Scott Creeks watershed	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes Sunnybrook extension for negative environmental impacts.
Email	Bigazzi, Alex	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes expansion of any new road infrastructure, but especially this one that would threaten oak habitat.
Email	Blosser, Catherine; Dolan, Terrance	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Remove Sunnybrook extension project from the RTP, as it would run through a high-value natural area.
Email	Cianella, Greg	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Comment	Courtney Wilton	Clackamas Community College	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Supports extension to improve access to the college, support the future economy, reduce congestion.
Email	Eccleston, Michele	Wetland biologist	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm and undermines Nature in Neighborhoods program.
Email	Esler-Rowe, Jan	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension for further damage to wetland; light rail to Milwaukie will already damage wetland.
Email	Gibbons, Lynne	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Holloway, Patricia	Southgate Planning Association	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Remove Sunnybrook extension project from the RTP, as it would run through high-value natural area.
Email	Krueger, Matt	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Layman, Genevieve	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Layman, William	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Leisner, Walker	PDC: New Business Development	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Luis, Amber	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Macken-Hambright, Dolly	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Remove Sunnybrook extension project from the RTP, as it would run through high-value natural area.
Letter	Maples, Christopher G.	Oregon Institute of Technology	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Supports extension as crucial to Harmony campus's future growth.
Email	McFarlane, Kathleen	McFarlane's Bark	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Flooding is a problem. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Miller, Lewis M.	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Person, Jeremy	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm and undermines Nature in Neighborhoods program.
Email	Platter, Daniel	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Reynolds, Debbie	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Email	Russell, Pat	N. Clackamas Citizens Association	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Remove Sunnybrook extension project from the RTP, as it would run through a high-value natural area.
Email	Scholes, Laura	City of Portland, Watershed Revegetation Program	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes Sunnybrook extension for negative environmental impacts.
Email	Shawn, Susan	Friends of N. Clackamas Parks; North clackamas Urban Watersheds Council	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Remove Sunnybrook extension project from the RTP, as it would run through a high-value natural area and create congestion nearby.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Comment	Shook, Richard	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as threat to rare oak woodland.
Email	Swain, Christopher	Resident of east coast	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as threat to rare oak woodland.
Email	Sweeney, Sean	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm.
Comment	Wheeler, Rob (Mayor)	City of Happy Valley	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	City supports the extension to relieve traffic problems; believes county has minimized environmental impacts.
Email	Wiley, Donald	Resident	Sunnybrook Blvd. extension	Opposes extension as an unnecessary road project that would harm rare oak woodland. Benefit does not outweigh harm and undermines Nature in Neighborhoods program.
Comment	Burris, April	Resident	Support bus lines	Keep buses even when adding light rail lines for flexibility.
Letter	Averbeck, Roger	Ashcreek Neighborhood Association	SW Portland improvements	Supports project #10284, bike and pedestrian improvements on Taylor's Ferry Rd. Urges moving project to federally constrained list. Supports project #10191, improvements to signalize the intersection of Garden Home and Multnomah Blvd.
Source	Name	Affiliation	Topic	Summary of comment
Comment	Swaren, Ron	Resident	Transit improvements	More transit needed in suburbs; increases buses (double-decker) rather than light rail; light rail too expensive; don't replace the I-5 bridges; build a third bridge downstream to connect to Washington County instead.
Email	Charles, John	Cascade Policy Institute	Transportation funding	Suggests using congestion pricing; so the public determines tolerable levels of congestion; VMT reduction is not a viable goal; questions active transportation as a worthy goal

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Hollister, Jeffrey	Resident	Transportation improvements	Approach to the tunnel on Highway 26 needs to be improved for safety reasons. Also, the west side of Portland needs safe bicycle connections to downtown.
Letter	McFarlane, Neil S.	TriMet	Transportation policy goals	Bike, pedestrian and transit should be higher priority than other "alternative modes" such as car-sharing; freight should be priorities over commuting.
Letter	Bricker, Scott	Bicycle Transportation Alliance	Transportation priorities	Overall, the plan would not reduce greenhouse gases. Should be more emphasis on non-auto travel. Urges Metro to develop a more rigorous screening criteria for projects to be included in the RTP. Supports the Bike Parkway concept.
Email	Charles, John	Cascade Policy Institute	Transportation priorities	Suggests the need for more highway capacity; more investments in maintenance of highways; objects to reducing VMT as a goal; objects to HCT as a priority and suggests investing in buses
Comment	Cohen, Gerald	AARP	Transportation priorities	Supports Transit Oriented Development and developing affordable housing near transit. Supplies the booklet, <i>Beyond 50</i> , that describes communities for an aging population.
Letter	Deal, Ruth	Resident	Transportation priorities	Supports active transportation, especially safe routes to school.
Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Transportation priorities	Supports the I-5/99W corridor; supports active transportation; supports addressing gaps in SW Portland's multi-modal system.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Letter	Fraser, John J.	Sysco Systems	Transportation priorities	Supports Alternative 7 (I-5/99-W connection); supports Barbur Blvd/99W to Sherwood/I-5 South mobility corridor plan; Supports 95th/Boones Ferry/Commerce Circle Intersection Improvements; Kinsman Road Extension; Day Street Improvements, and Barber Street Extension.
Comment	Gilmore, Steve	Wilsonville Chamber of Commerce	Transportation priorities	Supports four other road projects important to Wilsonville and the projects related to SMART, Wilsonville's transit service.
Comment	Gross, Mara	Coalition for a Liveable Future	Transportation priorities	Supports active transportation systems; opposes Columbia River Crossing;
Comment	Hale, Katelyn	Resident	Transportation priorities	Supports alternatives to auto travel and road expansion to address climate change and improve livability.
Comment	Howell, Jim	AORTA	Transportation priorities	Eliminate Columbia River Crossing as it is expensive and would produce more pollution. Build rapid transit for distance trips rather than slow MAX or streetcars.
Email	Kisor, Michael	Resident	Transportation priorities	Supports project #11116, SW Garden Home Road multi-modal improvements to improve pedestrian safety.
Letter	McDonough, Sandra	Portland Business Alliance	Transportation priorities	Improve freight mobility; implement economic impact analysis for project evaluation; implement performance evaluation for cost, delivery deadlines, and improvements; improve interjurisdictional coordination for implementation of projects.
Email	Peterson, Debbie	Resident	Transportation priorities	No expensive light rail; no I-5 bridge. Stop spending.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Letter	Rise, Jim	Xerox Corp.	Transportation priorities	Supports project #11062, the I-5 South Corridor refinement plan--Wilsonville to north Tigard.
Letter	Stephens, Charlie	Clackamas County Urban Green	Transportation priorities	Objects to Sunrise corridor project, road through Three-Creeks natural area, 99W connector that runs through farmland as unnecessary and harmful. Supports more and better bus service, but not expensive light rail.
Comment	Trumper, James; Griffin, Enid; Bruce, Virginia	CPO-1, Washington County	Transportation priorities	Support for bike lanes, paths, trails, and other non-auto transportation improvements to existing roads for safety reasons. Lists several roads as examples.
Letter	Wyatt, Bill	Port of Portland	Transportation priorities	Requests freight task force continue; requests freight be funded out of regional flexible funds; requests economic filter for allocating flexible funding; require accounting of project performance fo all funding allocations.
Email	McCarthy, Darren	Resident	Transportation projects	Remove projects #10598, 11339, 11340, 11342 from the project list. They were rejected by the committee.
Letter	Transport/RTO Subcommittee of TPAC	TPAC subcommittee	TSMO plan	Addenda to the Transportation System Management and Operations Plan that would become part of the RTP
Letter	Aanderud, Ben	Sherwood Chamber of Commerce	Tualatin arterials	Supports all the projects in Alternative 7 to provide needed connection to I-5.
Email	Anderson, toni	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731: will make a bad traffic situation worse and undermine home values.
Email	Bailey, Glenn	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731 for traffic concerns on Cheyenne Way, harm to the park, traffic on Lower Boones Ferry, and safety at Herman Road intersection.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Barnes, Robert L. and Frances M.	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731: will make a bad traffic situation worse. Go farther south.
Email	Barry, Diane H.	Tualatin Historical Society	Tualatin arterials	Supports southern arterial; opposes central and northern arterials as disruptive to the community.
Email	Belknap, Barb	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Suggests building a bypass that does not go over Ladd Hill due to concerns about winter weather.
Letter	Broome, John W.	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project, especially the northern arterial for potential harm to the park. Public involvement was completely lacking on this alignment.
Email	Carroll, Pat	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731 for inadequate public involvement, negative impacts on the park, traffic impacts, and need to cross the river.
Email	Casey, Ed	Tualatin Tomorrow	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials as disruptive to the community; supports southern arterial 124th St. expansion.
Comment	Crecraft, Shelby	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes connector as threat to water sources on Parrett Mountain.
Email	Devine, Pat	Lumber Products	Tualatin arterials	Supports all the projects in Alternative 7 to provide needed connection to I-5.
Email	Doering, Karla	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials for negative impacts on the community.
Email	Draper, Sarah	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Supports southern arterial; opposes central and northern arterials as disruptive to the community.
Email	Dreyfus, Richard	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Supports all three arterials--northern, central, and southern as I-5/99-W connections.
Comment	Durrell, Cameron	Les Schwab Tire Center	Tualatin arterials	Supports all the projects in Alternative 7 to provide needed connection to I-5.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Comment	Feves, Michael	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes Alternative 7 (projects #10598, 11339, 11340, 11342), especially southern arterial. lack of public involvement.
Comment	Gilmore, Steve	Wilsonville Chamber of Commerce	Tualatin arterials	Supports all the projects in Alternative 7 to provide multi-modal east-west connection.
Email	Glover, Lyn	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Supports southern arterial, 124th extension. Do NOT disrupt Tualatin Community Park.
Email	Goodson, Charles	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731 for inadequate public involvement, negative impacts on the park, traffic impacts, and need to cross the river.
Email	Hammond, David, Mary, Paul & Tom	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposed: the project will kill Tualatin with traffic.
Email	Hancock, Cara	Carabella Vineyard	Tualatin arterials	Opposes connection as it would go through prime vineyard land.
Comment	Harvey, Larry	So. Metro Business Alliance	Tualatin arterials	Supports Alternative 7 to address congestion and support business.
Comment	Hein, Chris	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials as disruptive to the community; supports southern arterial 124th St. expansion.
Email	Hill, Randy and Rowena	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Oppose project #10731. Would increase traffic and destroy property values.
Comment	Hurtado, Delores	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern arterial of Alternative 7 for lack of public process and potential environmental damage.
Email	Ingram, Nicole	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project: will make traffic on Cheyenne Way worse.
Email	Kelly, Candice	Tualatin Tomorrow	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials as disruptive to the community; supports southern.
Comment	Kleinman, Jeffrey	Farmland Preservation Community Action Committee	Tualatin arterials	Opposes southern arterial as it would cut through prime farmland. Questions the process. Requests that Alternative 7 be removed from the RTP project list.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Letter	Langer, Clarence and Pam	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Supports all the projects in Alternative 7 to provide needed connection to I-5.
Email	Livingston, Helen; Walcott, Susan	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731 due to safety concerns related to traffic.
Email	Mai, Rod	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731 for inadequate public involvement, negative impacts on the park, traffic impacts, and need to cross the river.
Email	Mai, Saari	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731 for inadequate public involvement, negative impacts on the park, traffic impacts, and need to cross the river.
Email	Neely, Richard and Mary	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposed to plan #10731. It will hurt property values and is likely not feasible due to environmental concerns.
Email	Parker, Brad	La-Z-Boy Furniture	Tualatin arterials	Opposes the plan as harmful to the city, neighborhoods, businesses and people of Tualatin.
Email	Peach, Phillipa	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials. Northern would harm the community and its park; central would divide the city.
Email	Perazzo, Dawn	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes I-5/99W bypass. Project lacked adequate public involvement at the county level.
Email	Perry, Marilyn D.	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731 for harming the community, lowering property values, and risk to children walking or biking to school.
Email	Peterson, Jon & Shelby	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731. Public process was lacking, and project would increase traffic and disturb the park.
Letter	Phillips, Paul	PAC/WEST	Tualatin arterials	Supports Alternative 7 to address congestion and support business.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Raikoglo, Dwight	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731: will make a bad traffic situation worse and harm the environment. Public involvement inadequate.
Email	Raikoglo, Jeanne	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731: will make a bad traffic situation worse, pose safety risk during construction, and harm the environment.
Email	Reischmann, Marlene	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern arterial; prefers central arterial.
Email	Roach, Beth	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials as disruptive to the community and harmful to the park.
Email	Robinson, Beverly	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Supports 124th St. expansion as best solution to I-5/99W connection.
Comment	Ruediger, Dan	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Comments to be included in final comment report.
Email	Rumpf, Carl	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials as negative impacts on the community. Southern arterial has least negative impact.
Email	Russell, Linda	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project #10731. Public process was lacking. Traffic would increase and make turning from Cheyenne Way impossible.
Email	Sierhuis, Lori	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials as disruptive to the community.
Email	Steinfeld, Joan	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes alternative 7. Has questions about the project's location and how it will fit with future UGB expansion.
Email	Swansen, Stacey	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Supports central and southern arterials; opposes northern arterial because it takes away park land.
Email	Taft, Mark and Stacey	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern arterial; supports central and southern.

Comment	Fitzgerald, Marianne	SW Neighborhoods, Inc.	Public involvement	30-day comment period is insufficient for a document as complex as the Making the Greatest Place recommendation.
Email	Tobey, Reba	Resident	Tualatin arterials	RE: project #10731. Adamantly opposes the project. Public involvement has been poor. Will increase traffic and safety risk and lower property values.
Email	Tunstall, Christine	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes northern and central arterials in alternative 7 for increasing congestion and harming the environment. Supports southern arterial and adaptive signals on Tualatin-Sherwood Road.
Email	Voegtlin, Anne	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes alternative 7. Project lacked adequate public involvement and would harm scenic farm and forestland.
Letter	Werger, Matthew J.	Northstar Chemical, Inc.	Tualatin arterials	Supports all the projects in Alternative 7 to provide needed connection to I-5.
Email	White, Laura	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Opposes project for potential traffic impacts and harm to the community. Public involvement was lacking.
Email	Wurtz, Bethany	Tualatin Tomorrow	Tualatin arterials	Opposes the northern and central arterials as part of Alternative 7; supports the southern. Concerned about harm to the community and the community park.
Email	Lipscom, Joseph	Resident	Tualatin arterials	Supports all three arterials--northern, central, and southern, with 4-lanes and landscaped median.